

PreK - 12 Education Committee

Meeting
Tuesday, March 21, 2006
2:15 — 5:15 p.m.
Morris Hall

HB 397 2006

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to Veterans' Day; requiring school districts to observe Veterans' Day; prohibiting holding classes on that day; providing an exception; requiring the date of the Veterans' Day observance to correspond with the federal holiday; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Each school district must observe November 11 of each year as the Veterans' Day holiday. Classes may not be held on such holiday for any reason except for a declared state emergency. If November 11 falls on a Saturday or Sunday, a school holiday shall be observed on a weekday immediately following or preceding that weekend so as to correspond with the date that Veterans' Day is observed as a federal holiday.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 397

Veterans' Day

SPONSOR(S): Davis

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 354

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Beagle	Mizereck
2) Military & Veteran Affairs Committee			
3) Education Appropriations Committee			
4) Education Council			
5)			·

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current Florida law grants authority to district school boards to establish an annual instructional calendar for all schools in the district.

The bill requires all Florida school districts to observe November 11 as the Veteran's Day holiday.

This bill takes effect on July 1, 2006.

This bill does not have a fiscal impact.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0397.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provides Limited Government-- This bill requires school districts to observe November 11 as the Veteran's Day holiday. School districts currently have discretion to designate dates for the observance of school holidays.

B FFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation:

Current Florida law grants authority to district school boards to establish an annual instructional calendar for all schools in the district. District school boards are responsible for designating dates for the start and end of the school year, school holidays and vacation periods, and inservice and teacher planning days.¹ Florida law requires each school board to conduct programs pertaining to the contributions and sacrifices that veterans have made in serving our country on or before Veteran's Day and Memorial Day.² According to the Department of Education, forty eight Florida school districts designated Veteran's Day as a school holiday during the 2005-2006 school year.³

Effect of Proposed Changes:

House bill 397 requires each school district to observe November 11 of each year as the Veteran's Day holiday. The bill provides that observance of Veteran's Day correspond with federal observance when November 11 falls on a Saturday or Sunday.

The bill provides that no classes may be held for any reason except to make up instructional time lost due to a declared state of emergency.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates an unnumbered section of law requiring school districts to observe Veteran's Day.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0397.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

¹ Section 1001.42(4), F.S.

² Section 1003.42(2)(r), F.S.

³ Florida Department of Education, Bureau of Education Information and Accountability Services, Statistical Brief: School District Calendars 2005-2006 available at http://www.firn.edu/doe/eias/eiaspubs/pdf/calendar.pdf.

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to use of school district millage; amending ss. 200.065 and 1011.71, F.S.; expanding authorized school board millage levy funding to include payment of premiums for property and casualty insurance necessary to insure school district educational plants; limiting expenditures of operating revenues; providing an effective date.

9
10 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (9) of section 200.065, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

200.065 Method of fixing millage.--

(9)(a) In addition to the notice required in subsection (3), a district school board shall publish a second notice of intent to levy additional taxes under s. 1011.71(2). Such notice shall specify the projects or number of school buses anticipated to be funded by such additional taxes and shall be published in the size, within the time periods, adjacent to, and in substantial conformity with the advertisement required under subsection (3). The projects shall be listed in priority within each category as follows: construction and remodeling; maintenance, renovation, and repair; motor vehicle purchases; new and replacement equipment; payments for educational facilities and sites due under a lease-purchase agreement; payments for renting and leasing educational facilities and sites; payments of loans approved pursuant to ss. 1011.14 and

Page 1 of 5

1011.15; payment of costs of compliance with environmental statutes and regulations; payment of premiums for property and casualty insurance necessary to insure the educational plants of the school district; payment of costs of leasing relocatable educational facilities; and payments to private entities to offset the cost of school buses pursuant to s. 1011.71(2)(i). The additional notice shall be in the following form, except that if the district school board is proposing to levy the same millage under s. 1011.71(2) which it levied in the prior year, the words "continue to" shall be inserted before the word "impose" in the first sentence, and except that the second sentence of the second paragraph shall be deleted if the district is advertising pursuant to paragraph (3)(e):

NOTICE OF TAX FOR SCHOOL CAPITAL OUTLAY

The (name of school district) will soon consider a measure to impose a (number) mill property tax for the capital outlay projects listed herein.

This tax is in addition to the school board's proposed tax of (number) mills for operating expenses and is proposed solely at the discretion of the school board. THE PROPOSED COMBINED SCHOOL BOARD TAX INCREASE FOR BOTH OPERATING EXPENSES AND CAPITAL OUTLAY IS SHOWN IN THE ADJACENT NOTICE.

The capital outlay tax will generate approximately \$ (amount) , to be used for the following projects:

Page 2 of 5

57 (list of capital outlay projects)

 All concerned citizens are invited to a public hearing to be held on (date and time) at (meeting place) .

A DECISION on the proposed CAPITAL OUTLAY TAXES will be made at this hearing.

Section 2. Paragraph (j) is added to subsection (2) of section 1011.71, Florida Statutes, and paragraph (a) of subsection (5) of that section is amended, to read:

1011.71 District school tax.--

- (2) In addition to the maximum millage levy as provided in subsection (1), each school board may levy not more than 2 mills against the taxable value for school purposes to fund:
- (j) Payment of premiums for property and casualty insurance necessary to insure the educational plants of the school district.

Violations of these expenditure provisions shall result in an equal dollar reduction in the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) funds for the violating district in the fiscal year following the audit citation.

(5)(a) It is the intent of the Legislature that, by July 1, 2003, revenue generated by the millage levy authorized by subsection (2) should be used only for the costs of construction, renovation, remodeling, maintenance, and repair of the educational plant; for the purchase, lease, or lease-purchase of equipment, educational plants, and construction materials directly related to the delivery of student

Page 3 of 5

instruction; for the rental or lease of existing buildings, or space within existing buildings, originally constructed or used for purposes other than education, for conversion to use as educational facilities; for payment of premiums for property and casualty insurance necessary to insure the educational plants of the school district; for the opening day collection for the library media center of a new school; for the purchase, leasepurchase, or lease of school buses or the payment to a private entity to offset the cost of school buses pursuant to paragraph (2)(i); and for servicing of payments related to certificates of participation issued for any purpose prior to the effective date of this act. Costs associated with the lease-purchase of equipment, educational plants, and school buses may include the issuance of certificates of participation on or after the effective date of this act and the servicing of payments related to certificates so issued. For purposes of this section, "maintenance and repair" is defined in s. 1013.01. Each year operating revenues are made available through the payment of property and casualty insurance premiums from revenues generated under this subsection or subsection (2), such operating revenues may be expended only for nonrecurring operational expenditures of the school district.

107 108

109 110

111

112

85 l

86 87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94 95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103 104

105

106

A district that violates these expenditure restrictions shall have an equal dollar reduction in funds appropriated to the district under s. 1011.62 in the fiscal year following the audit citation. The expenditure restrictions do not apply to any school district that certifies to the Commissioner of Education

Page 4 of 5

that all of the district's instructional space needs for the
next 5 years can be met from capital outlay sources that the
district reasonably expects to receive during the next 5 years
or from alternative scheduling or construction, leasing,
rezoning, or technological methodologies that exhibit sound
management.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

119

Page 5 of 5

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 481

Use of School District Millage

SPONSOR(S): Poppell and others

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 412

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Beagle	Mizereck
2) Finance & Tax Committee			
3) Education Appropriations Committee			
4) Education Council			
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Local school boards are permitted to levy a property tax of not more than two mills for non-operating purposes against the taxable value of property in the county. This bill expands the approved expenditures of the two mill revenue to allow for the payment of property and casualty insurance premiums on the educational plants of the school district. The bill requires that if insurance premiums are paid out of the two-mill non-operating millage, the operating revenue saved must be used for non-recurring operational expenditures only.

The fiscal impact of the bill is neutral. See Fiscal Comments.

This bill has an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0481.PKT.doc

DATE:

3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

This bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

Section 9(b) of Article VII of the Florida Constitution authorizes school districts to levy ad valorem taxes for school purposes. Revenues generated for school purposes are capped at 10 mills. Current Florida law authorizes school districts to levy funds for non-operating capital outlay projects via a discretionary levy of additional ad valorem property tax revenues without voter approval. A district school board is required to publish notice of its intent to levy additional taxes in the newspaper of general circulation serving the school district. The public notice must specify the projects to be funded from the revenue, and identify each project in priority order.

Expenditure of funds collected through the levy of the non-operating discretionary two mill levy is limited to the uses specified in statute.³ The payment of insurance premiums on the district's educational plant is not one of those specified purposes. Currently, premiums are paid from a district's operating budget revenues.

Proposed Changes

House bill 481 authorizes the payment of property and casualty insurance premiums on school district educational plants from discretionary two mill tax revenues. The bill amends both the public notice requirements and the list of approved expenditures that may be paid from the proceeds of a district's discretionary two mill tax levy. The bill requires that if insurance premiums are paid out of the two-mill non-operating millage, the operating revenue saved must be used for non-recurring operational expenditures only.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 200.065, F.S.; to require public notice.

Section 2. Amends s. 1011.71, F.S.; to add property and casualty insurance to the list of authorized expenditures.

Section 3. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

DATE:

h0481.PKT.c 3/17/2006

¹ Section 1011.71(2), F.S.

² Section 200.065(9), F.S.

³ Section 1011.71(2) and (5), F.S. STORAGE NAME: h0481.PKT.doc

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill does not increase or decrease revenues or expenditures. It provides flexibility to districts to use their discretionary two mill funds for an additional purpose.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

PAGE: 3 h0481.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

110 00

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to school safety; creating s. 1006.147, F.S.; providing legislative intent; prohibiting bullying and harassment during education programs and activities, on school buses, or through use of data or computer software accessed through computer systems of certain educational institutions; providing definitions; requiring each school district to adopt a policy prohibiting such bullying and harassment; providing minimum requirements for the contents of the policy; requiring the Department of Education to develop model policies; providing immunity; providing restrictions with respect to defense of an action and application of the section; requiring department approval of a school district's policy and school district compliance with reporting procedures as prerequisites to receipt of safe schools funds; requiring a report on implementation; providing an effective date.

17 18 19

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

20 21

Section 1. Section 1006.147, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

2223

24

1006.147 Bullying and harassment prohibited.--

252627

(1) It is the intent of the Legislature that school districts take every reasonable precaution to protect students and school employees from the irreparable physiological, physical, emotional, mental, and social harm of bullying and harassment. It is the further intent of the Legislature that

28

Page 1 of 7

HB 535

nothing in this section be construed to abridge the rights of 29 30 students or school employees that are protected by the First 31 Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. 32 (2) Bullying or harassment of any student or school employee is prohibited: 33 (a) During any education program or activity conducted by 34 35 a public K-12 educational institution; 36 (b) During any school-related or school-sponsored program 37 or activity or on a school bus of a public K-12 educational 38 institution; or Through the use of data or computer software that is 39 (c) accessed through a computer, computer system, or computer 40 network of a public K-12 educational institution. 41 42 (3) For purposes of this section: (a) "Bullying" means substantial: 43 1. Teasing; 44 Social exclusion; 45 46 3. Threat; 4. Intimidation; 47 5. Physical violence; 48 Theft; 49 6. 7. Sexual or racial harassment; 50 8. Public humiliation; or 51 9. Destruction of property. 52 (b) "Harassment" means any threatening, insulting, or 53 dehumanizing gesture, use of data or computer software, or 54 55 written, verbal, or physical conduct directed against a student or school employee that: 56

Page 2 of 7

1. Places a student or school employee in reasonable fear of harm to his or her person or damage to his or her property;

- 2. Has the effect of substantially interfering with a student's educational performance, opportunities, or benefits; or
- 3. Has the effect of substantially disrupting the orderly operation of a school.
- (c) Definitions in s. 815.03, which are applicable to chapter 815, the Florida Computer Crimes Act, are applicable to this section.
 - (d) The terms "bullying" and "harassment" include:
- 1. Retaliation against a student or school employee by another student or school employee for asserting or alleging an act of bullying or harassment. Reporting an act of bullying or harassment that is not made in good faith is considered retaliation.
- 2. Perpetuation of conduct listed in paragraph (a) or paragraph (b), by an individual or group, with intent to demean, dehumanize, embarrass, or cause physical harm to a student or school employee, by:
 - a. Incitement or coercion;

- b. Accessing or knowingly causing or providing access to data or computer software through a computer, computer system, or computer network within the scope of the district school system; or
- c. Acting in a manner that has an effect substantially similar to the effect of bullying or harassment.

Page 3 of 7

HB 535

(4) By September 1, 2006, each school district shall adopt
a policy prohibiting bullying and harassment on school property,
at a school-related or school-sponsored program or activity, on
a school bus, or through the use of data or computer software
that is accessed through a computer, computer system, or
computer network within the scope of the district school system.
The school district policy shall not establish categories of
students but shall afford all students the same protection
regardless of their status under law. The school district shall
involve students, parents, teachers, administrators, school
staff, school volunteers, community representatives, and local
law enforcement agencies in the process of adopting the policy.
The school district policy must be implemented in a manner that
is ongoing throughout the school year and integrated with a
school's curriculum, a school's discipline policies, and other
violence prevention efforts. The school district policy must
contain, at a minimum, the following components:
(a) A statement prohibiting bullying and harassment.
(b) A definition of bullying and harassment.
(c) A description of the type of behavior expected from
each student and school employee.
(d) The consequences for a person who commits an act of

- bullying or harassment.
- The consequences for a person found to have wrongfully and intentionally accused another of an act of bullying or harassment.
- (f) A procedure for reporting an act of bullying or harassment, including provisions that permit a person to

Page 4 of 7

anonymously report such an act. However, this paragraph does not permit formal disciplinary action to be based solely on an anonymous report.

- (g) A procedure for the prompt investigation of a report of bullying or harassment and the persons responsible for the investigation. The investigation of a reported act of bullying or harassment is deemed to be a school-related activity and begins with a report of such an act.
- (h) A process to investigate whether a reported act of bullying or harassment is within the scope of the district school system and, if not, a process for referral of such an act to the appropriate jurisdiction.
- (i) A procedure to refer victims and perpetrators of bullying or harassment for counseling.
- harassment in the school's report of safety and discipline data required under s. 1006.09(6). The report must include each incident of bullying and harassment and the resulting consequences, including discipline and referrals. The report must include in a separate section each reported incident of bullying or harassment that does not meet the criteria of a prohibited act under this section with recommendations regarding such incidents. The Department of Education shall aggregate information contained in the reports and submit an annual report to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 1.
- (k) A procedure for providing instruction to students, parents, teachers, school administrators, counseling staff, and

Page 5 of 7

school volunteers on identifying, preventing, and responding to bullying or harassment.

- (1) To the extent permitted under the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, a procedure for monthly reporting to a victim's parents all actions instituted against a perpetrator of bullying or harassment and the action taken to prevent any further acts of bullying or harassment.
- (m) A procedure for publicizing the policy which must include its publication in the code of student conduct required under s. 1006.07(2) and in all employee handbooks.
- (5) To assist school districts in developing policies for the prevention of bullying and harassment, the Department of Education shall develop model policies which must be provided to school districts no later than July 1, 2006.
- (6) A school employee, school volunteer, student, or parent who promptly reports in good faith an act of bullying or harassment to the appropriate school official designated in the school district's policy and who makes this report in compliance with the procedures set forth in the policy is immune from a cause of action for damages arising out of the reporting itself or any failure to remedy the reported incident.
- (7)(a) The physical location or time of access of a computer-related incident cannot be raised as a defense in any disciplinary action or prosecution initiated under this section.
- (b) This section does not apply to any person who uses data or computer software that is accessed through a computer, computer system, or computer network when acting within the

Page 6 of 7

scope of his or her lawful employment or investigating a violation of this section in accordance with school district policy.

- (8) Distribution of safe schools funds to a school
 district provided in the 2007-2008 General Appropriations Act is
 contingent upon Department of Education approval of the school
 district's bullying and harassment policy. Distribution of safe
 schools funds provided to each school district in fiscal year
 2008-2009 and thereafter shall be contingent upon school
 district compliance with all reporting procedures contained in
 this section.
- (9) On or before January of each year, the Commissioner of Education shall report to the Senate and House of Representatives committees on education on the implementation of this section. The report shall include pertinent data such as incidences of bullying and harassment identified by the school districts.
- Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 7 of 7

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 535

School Safety

SPONSOR(S): Bogdanoff and others

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1384

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Beagle	Mizereck
2) Juvenile Justice Committee			
3) Education Appropriations Committee			
4) Education Council			
5)	_		

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current Florida law requires school districts to develop student safety and discipline policies. Within the requirements prescribed by law, school districts have considerable discretion as to the contents of these policies. There is no statewide mandate that school districts adopt policies that explicitly prohibit bullying and harassment.

House bill 535 prohibits bullying and harassment of students in Florida schools, and requires school districts to adopt policies for enforcing this prohibition. The bill defines bullying and harassment, and sets forth specific minimum requirements for school district policies.

The bill has a minimal fiscal impact. See FISCAL COMMENTS.

The bill takes effect on upon becoming law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h0535.PKT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government-- The bill requires local school districts to adopt policies prohibiting bullying and harassment.

Promote Personal Responsibility-- The bill requires local school districts to establish punishments and interventions for dealing with perpetrators of bullying or harassment.

Safeguard Individual Liberty-- The bill reduces the likelihood that bullying and harassment will interfere with student's learning and social development.

Empower Families-- The bill requires school authorities to report all actions taken against a perpetrator of bullying and harassment to the victim's parents.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation:

Student Discipline and School Safety

Florida law requires district school boards to establish student safety and discipline policies governing student conduct on school grounds, at school sponsored activities, and on school buses. Subject to certain requirements, school districts retain considerable flexibility in formulating student discipline policies. Policies must address several issues including:

- A code of student conduct that clearly explains the rights and responsibilities of students regarding respect for persons and property.
- Prohibition against student possession of a firearm or weapon on school grounds or at school sponsored activities and notice to students that violation of this provision may result in expulsion and referral to a criminal or juvenile justice facility.
- Notice that student acts of prohibited behavior at school, on a school bus, at a school bus stop, sexual harassment, and violence against any school district employee are subject to disciplinary action.
- Policies for assigning a violent or disruptive student to an alternative program.
- Consistent policies and procedures for dealing with prohibited acts, including imposition of criminal penalties.

Additionally, Florida law² and State Board of Education Rule (SBE)³ require district school boards to adopt a zero tolerance policy for violent crime, victimization, and substance abuse. District school boards must ensure that students found to have committed certain offenses receive the most severe penalties available under district school board policy.⁴ Likewise, district school boards are authorized to attach more severe consequences to disciplinary violations motivated by hostility towards a victim's gender, race, religion, color, sexual orientation, ethnicity, ancestry, national origin, political beliefs, marital status, age, social and family background, linguistic preference, or disability.⁵ School officials are required to protect students who are victimized by violent crime, including notifying a victim's parents that an incident has occurred and of the victim's right to press charges, transferring the

¹ Section 1006.07, F.S.

² Section 1006.13, F.S.

³ State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.0404.

perpetrator to another school in the district, and banning the perpetrator from riding the same school bus as the victim.⁶

Florida law assigns specific duties relating to the enforcement of student discipline to certain school district personnel. These duties include:

- District school superintendents are required to recommend student safety and discipline policies to the district school board.⁷
- School principals must collaborate with teachers to establish and enforce classroom rules for student conduct and procedures for disciplinary referrals.⁸
- School principals must comply with certain requirements for reporting incidents of student misconduct.⁹ The School Environmental Safety Incident Reporting System (SESIR)¹⁰ requires schools to report serious safety incidents involving students that occur on school grounds, on school transportation, or off-campus at school-sponsored events. School-level data is compiled at the district-level and reported to the Department of Education (DOE).
- School bus drivers are responsible for maintaining order and security on district buses.

Bullying and Harassment

The U.S. Department of Education (U.S. DOE) reports that 7% of students aged 12-18 reported being bullied at school in 2003. State anti-bullying legislation has gained in prevalence since a rash of highly publicized school shootings in the late 1990s. Currently, several states have enacted anti-bullying legislation. These states include Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington and West Virginia. 14

Current Florida law does not require school districts to implement a district-wide anti-bullying and harassment policy. According to the DOE, 33 Florida school districts have implemented bullying prevention programs. ¹⁵

- Aggression Replacement Training (ART) Hernando;
- Aggressors, Victims, Bystanders Brevard, Collier, Columbia, DeSoto, Dixie, Escambia, FAU
 Lab School, Flagler, Glades, Lafayette, Levy, Manatee, Okaloosa, Palm Beach, Pinellas, Santa
 Rosa, Sarasota, St. Lucie, Union, and Volusia;
- Bullying Prevention (Olweus) FAU Lab School, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Sarasota, Seminole, and Sumter;
- Bully-Proofing Your School Brevard and Volusia;
- Bullving Safe Lee;
- Foundations: Creating Safe and Civil Schools Clay and Duval;
- PATHS Okaloosa:
- PeaceBuilders Franklin and Gulf;

Education Commission of the States, Recent State Policies and Activities Update: Student Discipline: Bullying Statutes, available at

http://www.ecs.org/ecs/ecscat.nsf/WebTopicView?OpenView&RestrictToCategory=Safety/Student+Discipline--Bullying/Conflict+Resolution.

15 Florida Department of Education, Bullying Programs in Florida Districts available at http://www.firn.edu/doe/besss/bull_fl.html.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0535.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

⁶ Section 1006.13(1)(b), (5), F.S.

⁷ Section 1006.08, F.S.

⁸ Section 1006.09, F.S.

⁹ Section 1001.54(3), F.S. and s. 1006.09(6), F.S.

¹⁰ Florida Department of Education, *The School Environmental Safety Incident Reporting System (SESIR) District and Statewide Reports, available at* http://www.firn.edu/doe/besss/sesir.htm.

¹¹ Section 1006 10, F.S.

¹² The U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, *Indicators of School Crime and Safety:* 2005, NCES 2006-001, November 2005, available at http://nces.ed.gov/programs/crimeindicators/Indicators.asp?PubPageNumber=12.

¹³ Education Commission of the States, State Anti-Bullying Statutes, by Jennifer Dounay, April 2005, available at http://www.ecs.org/clearinghouse/60/41/6041.htm.

¹⁴ Education Commission of the States, State Anti-Bullying Statutes, by Jennifer Dounay, April 2005, available at http://www.ecs.org/clearinghouse/60/41/6041.htm and

- Positive Action Charlotte and Leon;
- Project ACHIEVE Charlotte;
- Safe Schools Ambassadors Seminole;
- Success in Stages: Build Respect, Stop Bullying Union; and
- TRUST Miami-Dade.

Safe Schools Funding

Safe schools funding is a component of the Florida Education Finance Program¹⁶ and is allocated by the legislature as proviso language in the General Appropriations Act.¹⁷ The Legislature appropriated \$75,350,000 in safe schools funds for the 2005-2006 school year. Safe schools funds are allocated as follows:

- A basic amount of \$50,000 is distributed to each Florida school district or lab school.
- Two-thirds of the remaining balance is allocated based on the latest official Florida Crime Index as provided by the Florida Department of Law Enforcement.
- One-third is allocated based on each district's share of the state's total unweighted student enrollment.

School districts may use safe schools funds to implement after school programs, conflict resolution strategies, alternative school programs for adjudicated youth, and other improvements to make the school a safe place to learn. School districts have flexibility to determine how much of its total allocation to use for each authorized Safe Schools activity.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

Prohibition of Bullying and Harassment

House bill 535 prohibits bullying and harassment on school grounds, at school sponsored functions, on school buses, and school district controlled computer equipment and networks. The bill defines "bullying" to include teasing, social exclusion, threats, intimidation, physical violence, theft, sexual or racial harassment, public humiliation, or destruction of property. "Harassment" is defined to include threatening, insulting, or dehumanizing gestures, use of a computer, and written, verbal, or physical conduct targeted at a student or school employee that:

- Causes the student or school employee to reasonably fear harm to person or property;
- Substantially interferes with the student's educational performance; or
- Substantially disrupts the orderly operation of the school.

Also falling within the bill's scope are certain acts of retaliation against individuals who report an act of bullying or harassment, acts that incite or coerce others to perpetrate an act of bullying or harassment, accessing or causing others to access another student's computer data or software for bullying and harassment purposes via school operated computers, and other acts having the effect of bullying and harassment.

Bullying and Harassment Policies

The bill requires each school district to adopt a policy prohibiting bullying and harassment. The policies must apply evenly to all students and explicitly prohibits a school district from creating special classifications of protected students based on student characteristics. Each school district must involve a variety of stakeholders in devising its bullying and harassment policy. The bill further requires each school district to integrate its bullying and harassment policy into the district's year round school curriculum, discipline policies, and violence prevention efforts. Moreover, the bill provides conditions for immunity from suit for specified individuals who report an incident of bullying or harassment. The bill

16 Section 1011.62(5)(b)3., F.S.

17 Line Item 73 of the Conference Committee Report on SB 2600, Enrolled Chapter 2005-70, Laws of Florida.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0535.PKT.doc 3/17/2006 bars perpetrators who access a computer to bully or harass from raising the location or time of access of the computer as a defense.

Additionally, school district bullying and harassment policies must contain the following components:

- A definition of bullying and harassment and statement that such conduct is prohibited;
- Clearly stated consequences for committing or falsely accusing another of bullying or harassment:
- A procedure for reporting a proscribed act;
- A procedure for investigating whether a reported incident of bullying and harassment is within the scope of the school district's policy. Acts determined to be outside the scope of the school district's policy are to be referred to the appropriate authorities;
- A procedure for referring a victim or perpetrator of bullying or harassment to counseling;
- A procedure including incidents of bullying and harassment in its SESIR;
- A procedure for training students, parents, school volunteers and school staff effective tactics for identifying and addressing incidents of bullying and harassment; and
- A procedure for reporting all measures taken against a perpetrator of bullying and harassment to a victim's parents.

The bill requires the DOE to adopt model bullying and harassment policies to assist school districts. The bill also requires each school district to publish its policy in the district's code of student conduct and all employee handbooks. The bill further requires the Commissioner to report to the education committees of the Legislature as to the progress made by districts in implementing the bill's provisions.

The bill makes disbursements of safe schools funding to school districts contingent upon the district's adopting a bullying and harassment policy for the 2007-2008 school year. To receive disbursements of safe schools funding in subsequent school years, school districts must comply with all reporting requirements set forth in the bill. A school district's failure to comply with either of these requirements will result in a withholding of safe schools funding.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Creates section 1006.147, F.S., prohibiting bullying and harassment in Florida schools: requiring each school district to adopt a policy for preventing and addressing incidents of bullying and harassment.

Section 2: Provides that the bill is effective upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state revenues.

Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

PAGE: 5 STORAGE NAME: h0535.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill does not appear to have a direct economic impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

School districts that fail to adopt a bullying and harassment policy by the 2007-2008 school year may have their safe schools funding withheld. Subsequent disbursements of safe schools funds may be withheld to school districts that fail to comply with any reporting provisions contained in the bill.

School districts may incur costs in developing bullying and harassment policies, and in providing the required training to students, parents, school volunteers, and school employees.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

The requirement that school districts report all actions taken against a perpetrator of bullying or harassment to a victim's parents may exceed the scope of current federal and Florida laws governing the privacy of student records. The federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)¹⁸ and Section 1002.22, F.S. prohibit educational institutions from disclosing certain student educational records without parental consent. FERPA defines student records as those records maintained by an educational institution directly relating to a student.¹⁹ Florida law expands upon this definition to include all material incorporated into a student's cumulative record folder as well as verified reports of serious or recurrent behavior patterns exhibited by the student.²⁰ Issuing reports to victim's parents that contain student-specific disciplinary information is not among the exceptions to the requirement that school districts obtain parental consent prior to disclosing student record information as provided by FERPA and s. 1002.22, F.S.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h0535.PKT.doc DATE: 3/17/2006

¹⁸ The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99. This federal statute applies to educational institutions that receive federal funds.

^{19 20} U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(A).

HB 629

110 02

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to school food service programs; amending s. 1006.06, F.S.; requiring district school board plans to eliminate the sale or use of products containing high-fructose corn syrup from school food service programs and on school grounds; requiring Department of Education approval of plans, monitoring of plan implementation, and reporting; providing an effective date.

8

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10 11

1.2

Section 1. Subsection (6) is added to section 1006.06, Florida Statutes, to read:

13 14

15

1006.06 School food service programs.--

16 17 18 submit to the department a plan to eliminate the sale or use of products containing high-fructose corn syrup from school food service programs and on school grounds. The plan must contain a schedule to accomplish the elimination of products containing

(6) By January 1, 2007, each district school board shall

19 20

high-fructose corn syrup by July 1, 2007, and must be approved by the department. The department shall monitor the

2122

implementation of each district school board's plan and provide a report on such implementation to the President of the Senate

2324

and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 1,

25 26

Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

2008, and annually thereafter.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 629

School Food Service Programs

SPONSOR(S): Zapata TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2414

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Allan	Mizereck
2) Health Care General Committee			
3) Education Appropriations Committee			
4) Education Council	_		
5)	_		

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House Bill 629 requires that school districts develop and implement a plan to eliminate high-fructose corn syrup products from their food service programs and on school grounds. The bill assigns the Department of Education (DOE) the responsibility of monitoring the planning and implementation of the removal of high-fructose corn syrup products and reporting on implementation efforts to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House, annually, beginning on January 1, 2008.

There would be a fiscal impact at both the local and state level. Please see FISCAL COMMENTS.

The bill sets an effective date upon becoming a law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0629.PKT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Empower Families - The bill would provide students a school meal without using highfructose corn syrup products and remove high-fructose corn syrup products from school grounds.

Government Regulation – The bill requires the DOE to monitor the high-fructose corn syrup content of products on school grounds and served through food service programs.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

School food service programs are designed to meet the nutritional needs of students for the purpose of helping students develop and learn. Currently, the National School Lunch Act, the State Board of Education, and federal law regulate school food service programs. District school boards are responsible for adopting policies, based on the recommendations of the district school superintendent, to provide for an appropriate food and nutrition program consistent with law. 1

High-fructose corn syrup is an ingredient in cereals, meat products, condiments, dairy products, sauces, dressings, beverages, crackers and other foods. Because of its low cost, compatibility with other ingredients and preservation ability, it is used often by large-scale food manufacturers.2

High-fructose corn syrup is absorbed and metabolized similarly to sucrose and is classified by the US Food and Drug Administration as "Generally Recognized as Safe." 3 Corn syrup consumption has been positively associated with type 2 diabetes, but there has been no causal relationship established between the two. Type 2 diabetes has also been linked to obesity. Currently it is difficult to determine the amount of high-fructose corn syrup in the food supply; therefore it is difficult to determine how high-fructose corn syrup has contributed to obesity.4

The bill sets a schedule districts must meet to eliminate high-fructose corn syrup products from school food service programs and school grounds. Changing the requirements of foods currently used in school food service programs would require additional research and evaluation for accepting appropriate products. Reformulating products could cause additional expenses and be a time-consuming process for school food services. School district bid processes currently examine products based on the following: the eight nutrient guidelines sited by Federal Regulations; student acceptability/popularity; and labor intensity of the product. A change in the law would require the bid process to find products that meet all of the current requirements in addition to the high-fructose corn syrup elimination policy.5

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

DATE:

3/17/2006

¹ S. 1006.06, F.S.

² Florida Department of Education Bill Analysis, HB 629.

³ "Nutrition Today", Volume 40, No. 6, p.248 ⁴ "Nutrition Today", Volume 40, No. 6, p. 255

⁵ Florida Department of Education Bill Analysis, HB 629. h0629.PKT.doc STORAGE NAME:

Section 1: Requires development and implementation of a plan; requires DOE to monitor and report on the plan.

Section 2: Establishes an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill would require additional DOE staff to monitor implementation. Based upon the calculations of the DOE, three staff positions would be needed with combined annual salaries totaling \$191, 259, and annual travel costs of \$38,880.6

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

There would likely be a decrease in sales for suppliers of products containing high fructose corn syrup.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The overall fiscal impact of the bill is indeterminate. There would be short term impacts to eliminate and replace food products. There could be long term savings in health costs if obesity and diabetes were reduced.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require local governments to take any action because there is no requirement to use local funding.

\sim	$\overline{}$	1	ᆫ		
	[]	т	n	er	

None.

STORAGE NAME: h0629.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0629.PKT.doc 3/17/2006 HB 781 2006

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to public school magnet programs; creating s. 1011.755, F.S.; creating a grant program to enable school districts to establish magnet programs in certain schools; providing for program administration and adoption of rules; requiring submission of proposals and distribution of funds; providing for implementation to the extent funds are appropriated; providing an effective

date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 1011.755, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

1011.755 Magnet program grants.--

(1) There is created a grant program to provide funds to a school district with a magnet program that has a large waiting list to enable the school district to establish the magnet program in other schools in the school district.

(2) The grant program shall be administered by the Commissioner of Education according to rules adopted by the State Board of Education. To apply for a magnet program grant, a district school board must submit to the commissioner a proposal for expanding a magnet program to other schools in the school district. A proposal must include projected enrollment, costs for the magnet program, and such other information as the commissioner may require. For a proposal approved by the

Page 1 of 2

commissioner, the commissioner shall authorize distribution to

2006 HB 781 the school district of funds for the program which may be used 29 for personnel, supplies, student services, or other expenses 30 associated with the expansion of a magnet program. 31 (3) The grant program shall be implemented to the extent 32 funds are appropriated in the General Appropriations Act to the 33 Department of Education for magnet program grants. 34 Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006. 35

Page 2 of 2

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 781

SPONSOR(S): Gottlieb

Public School Magnet Programs

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1594

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee	_	Beagle	Mizereck
2) Education Appropriations Committee			
3) Fiscal Council			
4) Education Council			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5)	_		

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Magnet schools are schools that offer specialized curriculums in a particular academic subject area. Due to their popularity, many of these programs have large waiting lists.

The bill creates a grant program to enable school districts to expand existing magnet programs to other schools in the district, thereby increasing the availability of these programs.

The bill has an indeterminate fiscal impact. See Fiscal Comments.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

h0781.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

DATE:

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Safeguard Individual Liberty-- The bill increases the educational options available to students.

Empower Families-- The bill supports expansion of certain educational programs, thus enhancing choices available to parents for their child's education.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Magnet schools are schools that offer specialized curriculums in a particular academic subject area. Magnet schools emerged in the 1970s as a mechanism for desegregating large urban school districts. Since that time, school districts have used magnet programs to:

- Facilitate equity of access to quality educational programs,
- Enhance parental school choice,
- Enable students to choose educational programs based on their interests,
- Experiment with innovative instructional methods, and
- Individualize student instruction.¹

Current Florida law includes magnet programs among the public school choice options available to parents and students.² According to the Florida Department of Education (DOE), 20 school districts reported 306,000 students enrolled in magnet schools during the 2004-2005 school year. Subject areas offered in these programs included health related professions, criminal justice, science, mathematics, technology, visual and performing arts, International Baccalaureate, and foreign languages.³ Due to their popularity, many magnet schools have waiting lists.

The bill creates a grant program to provide funds to enable school districts to expand existing magnet programs with large waiting lists to other schools in the district. The bill designates the Commissioner of Education as administrator of the grant program. The bill requires school districts to submit proposals for expansion of magnet programs to the Commissioner for approval and specifies permissible uses for grant funds.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates s. 1011.755, F.S.; creating a grant program for magnet schools.

Section 2. Providing an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

STORAGE NÂME: h0781.PKT.doc DATE: 3/17/2006

¹ U.S. Department of Education, Office of Innovation and Improvement, Innovations in Education: Creating Successful Magnet Programs, available at http://www.ed.gov/admins/comm/choice/ magnet/ report.pdf (2004).

² Section 1002.20(6), F.S.

³ Florida Department of Education, 2006 Legislative Bill Analysis for HB 781.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The fiscal impact of the bill will be determined by funding provided in the General Appropriations Act.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill requires the State Board of Education to adopt rules relating to magnet program grants.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to regional consortium service organizations; amending s. 1001.451, F.S.; requiring the determination of services and use of funds to be established by the board of directors of a regional consortium service organization; authorizing establishment of purchasing and bidding programs in lieu of individual school district bid arrangements; authorizing establishment of an educational foundation governed by an educational foundation board of directors; providing for use of property, facilities, and personnel services by an educational foundation; requiring audits; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 1001.451, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

1001.451 Regional consortium service organizations.--In order to provide a full range of programs to larger numbers of students, minimize duplication of services, and encourage the development of new programs and services:

(1) School districts with 20,000 or fewer unweighted full-time equivalent students, developmental research (laboratory) schools established pursuant to s. 1002.32, and the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind may enter into cooperative agreements to form a regional consortium service organization. Each regional consortium service organization shall provide, at

Page 1 of 3

HB 899 2006

a minimum, three of the following services: exceptional student education; teacher education centers; environmental education; federal grant procurement and coordination; data processing; health insurance; risk management insurance; staff development; purchasing; or planning and accountability.

- (2)(a) Each regional consortium service organization that consists of four or more school districts is eligible to receive, through the Department of Education, an incentive grant of \$50,000 per school district and eligible member to be used for the delivery of services within the participating school districts. The determination of services and use of such funds shall be established by the board of directors of the regional consortium service organization.
- (b) Application for incentive grants shall be made to the Commissioner of Education by July 30 of each year for distribution to qualifying regional consortium service organizations by January 1 of the fiscal year.
- (3) In order to economically provide programs and services to participating school districts and members, a regional consortium service organization may establish purchasing and bidding programs, including construction and construction management arrangements, in lieu of individual school district bid arrangements. Participation in regional consortium service organization bids shall be accomplished by action of an individual district school board through a letter of intent to participate and shall be reflected in official district school board minutes.

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

(4) A regional consortium service organization board of directors may elect to establish an educational foundation independent of the regional consortium service organization's school district of record to be governed by an educational foundation board of directors. The educational foundation must be a Florida not-for-profit corporation incorporated under the provisions of chapter 617 and approved by the Department of State. A regional consortium service organization board of directors may permit the use of property, facilities, and personnel services of the regional consortium service organization by an approved educational foundation. An approved educational foundation with more than \$100,000 in expenditures or expenses must provide for an annual financial audit of its accounts and records to be conducted by an independent certified public accountant. The annual audit report shall be submitted within 9 months after the end of the fiscal year to the educational foundation board of directors and the regional consortium service organization board of directors.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 899

Regional Consortium Service Organizations

SPONSOR(S): Richardson and others

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1710

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Hunker	Mizereck
2) Education Appropriations Committee			
3) Education Council	·		
4)			
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House bill 899 amends s. 1001.451, F.S., regarding regional consortium service organizations (RCSOs).

The bill requires that the boards of directors of RCSOs determine which services will be purchased with the funds received from the Department of Education (DOE).

The bill authorizes the boards of directors of RCSOs to replace individual school district bid arrangements with RCSO purchasing and bidding programs.

The bill authorizes boards of directors of RCSOs to establish educational foundations governed by educational foundation boards of directors. RCSOs may permit educational foundations to use the property, facilities and personnel services of an RCSO to raise funds for the district members. The bill requires financial audits for certain educational foundations.

The bill has an indeterminate fiscal impact. See FISCAL ANALYSIS.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h0899.PKT.doc STORAGE NAME:

DATE:

3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government – This bill permits regional consortium services organizations to provide bidding, purchasing and fundraising operations to multiple school districts, thus reducing duplicative effort which would occur if each district had to provide these services for themselves.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Currently, section 1001.451, F.S., authorizes the creation of regional consortium service organizations (RCSOs). RCSOs permit smaller school districts, developmental research (laboratory) schools, and the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind to pool their resources to provide common programs and services such as teacher training, staff development, exceptional student education, federal grant procurement and coordination, data processing, health insurance, risk management insurance, purchasing, and planning and accountability.

There are currently three regional consortium service organizations in operation in Florida:

- (1) The North Florida Education Consortium (NEFEC): Comprising Baker, Bradford, Columbia, Dixie, Flagler, Gilchrist, Hamilton, Lafayette, Levy, Nassau, Putnam, Suwannee, Union, P.K. Younge DRS, and the Florida School For the Deaf and the Blind³
- (2) Panhandle Area Education Consortium (PAEC): Comprising Bay, Calhoun, Escambia, FSU Schools, Inc., Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Hamilton, Holmes, Jackson, Jefferson, Leon, Liberty, Madison, Nassau, Okaloosa, Santa Rosa, Suwannee, Taylor, Walton, Wakulla, and Washington.⁴
- (3) Heartland Educational Consortium (HEC): Comprising De Soto, Glades, Hardee, Hendry, Highlands, and Okeechobee.⁵

Currently, the DOE provides these organizations with incentive grants of \$50,000 per school district to be used for the delivery of services within those districts. The bill authorizes the boards of directors of the RCSOs to determine which services the funds will be used for.

The bill grants authority to the boards of directors of the RCSOs to replace individual school district bid arrangements with their own purchasing and bidding programs, including construction and construction management arrangements. A district school board would participate in RCSO bids by submitting a letter of intent to participate and by reflecting the intent to participate in official district school board minutes.

The bill also clarifies that an RCSO board of directors may elect to establish an educational foundation independent of the organization's school district of record. An educational foundation must be governed by an educational foundation board of directors, must be a Florida not-for-profit corporation under chapter 617, F.S., and must be approved by the Department of State. This bill authorizes RCSOs to permit approved educational foundations to use RCSO property, facilities, and personnel services to raise funds for school district members of the RCSO. The bill also provides that each approved educational foundation with more than \$100,000 in expenditures or expenses must provide for an annual financial audit of its accounts and records by an independent certified public accountant.

Smaller school districts are those that have 20,000 or fewer unweighted full-time equivalent students

² See s. 1002.32, Fla. Stat.

The North East Florida Educational Consortium, http://www.nefec.org (last visited Mar. 16, 2006).

Panhandle Area Educational Consortium, http://www.paec.org (last visited Mar. 16, 2006).

Heartland Educational Consortium, http://www.flalearningalliance.org (last visited Mar. 16, 2006).

The audit report must be submitted to the boards of directors of both the educational foundation and the RCSO within 9 months after the end of the fiscal year.

The bill provides that the act will take effect July 1, 2006.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 1001.451, F.S.; requiring the board of directors of a regional consortium service organization to determine use of funds; authorizing establishment of purchasing and bidding programs; authorizing establishment of an educational foundation board of directors and providing for use of property, facilities, and personnel services; requiring audits.

Section 2: Provides an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill has an indeterminate impact on the private sector because it requires educational foundations with more than \$100,000 in expenditures or expenses to hire independent certified public accountants to prepare audit reports.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill may reduce administrative costs of school districts operating their own bidding and purchasing processes and fundraising. However, regional consortium services organizations may incur increased administrative costs and costs of hiring independent certified public accountants to prepare audit reports in the case of educational foundations with more than \$100,000 in expenditures or expenses.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

PAGE: 3 h0899.PKT.doc STORAGE NAME: 3/17/2006

2.	Other:	
	None.	

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to instructional materials for public school education; amending s. 1006.28, F.S.; requiring district school boards to issue additional textbooks for use in the classroom in grades 6 through 8; providing an effective date.

WHEREAS, because of the elimination of lockers in many middle schools, students must carry their issued textbooks throughout the school day, and

WHEREAS, carrying such books has the potential to lead to minor to severe back problems, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 1006.28, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

18 1006.28 Duties of district school board, district school
19 superintendent; and school principal regarding K-12
20 instructional materials.--

(1) DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD.--The district school board has the duty to provide adequate instructional materials for all students in accordance with the requirements of this part. The term "adequate instructional materials" means a sufficient number of textbooks or sets of materials serving as the basis for instruction for each student in the core courses of mathematics, language arts, social studies, science, reading, and literature, except for instruction for which the school

Page 1 of 2

HB 945 2006

advisory council approves the use of a program that does not include a textbook as a major tool of instruction. The district school board has the following specific duties:

(b) Textbooks. --

- 1. Provide for proper requisitioning, distribution, accounting, storage, care, and use of all instructional materials furnished by the state and furnish such other instructional materials as may be needed. The district school board shall assure that instructional materials used in the district are consistent with the district goals and objectives and the curriculum frameworks adopted by rule of the State Board of Education, as well as with the state and district performance standards provided for in s. 1001.03(1).
- 2. Issue additional textbooks to remain in each classroom for use in the classroom by each student in grades 6 through 8 who participates in the class. The issuance of textbooks pursuant to this subparagraph shall not affect the issuance of textbooks to each student.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

Page 2 of 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Bill No. **0945**

	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ (Y/N)
İ	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
1	Council/Committee hearing bill: PreK-12 Committee
2	Representative(s) Cretul offered the following:
3	
4	Amendment (with title amendment)
5	Remove line(s) 42-46 and insert:
6	2. During the first 2 years of the adoption cycle,
7	beginning with the 2006-2007 school year, purchase sufficient
8	textbooks to provide a classroom set of textbooks for use in
9	each core curriculum classroom in grades 6 through 8. The
10	purchase of textbooks pursuant to this subparagraph shall not
11	affect the issuance of textbooks to each student.
12	
13	========== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========
14	Remove line(s) 4 and 5 and insert:
15	district school boards to purchase and provide a classroom set
16	of textbooks for use in certain grade 6 through grade 8
17	classrooms; providing an

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 945 SPONSOR(S): Cretul Instructional Materials for Public School Education

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Davis	Mizereck
2) Education Appropriations Committee	·		
3) Education Council			
4)			
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House bill 945 amends the duties of district school boards to include issuing additional textbooks to remain in each sixth through eighth grade classrooms for classroom use by students. The bill provides that the issuance of these textbooks will not affect the issuance of textbooks to each student.

There is a significant fiscal impact associated with this bill. See FISCAL COMMENTS for further information.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0945.PKT.doc

DATE: 3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Empower families—Students will have textbooks at home for the completion of homework.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Instructional materials are defined as "items having intellectual content that by design serve as a major tool for assisting the instruction of a subject or course." Instructional materials are funded as a categorical item in the General Appropriations Act (GAA); in the 2005-2006 school year, the GAA provided \$249.9 million for instructional materials. School districts are directed to use at least 50% of funds for the purchase of instructional materials on the state-adopted list. The remaining funds may be used for instructional materials not on the state-adopted list and for repair and renovation of textbooks. Each year, the state adopts instructional materials for specific classes for a 6 year adoption cycle. Within the first two years of the adoption cycle, each school district is required to purchase current instructional materials for each student in core courses.¹

Under current law², district school boards are required to provide adequate instructional materials for each student. "Adequate instructional materials" refers to a sufficient number of textbooks or sets of materials serving as the basis for instruction for each student in the core courses. District school boards are responsible for proper requisitioning, distribution, accounting, storage, care, and use of all instructional materials furnished by the state and other instructional materials as may be needed. District school boards are also responsible for assuring that instructional materials used in the district are consistent with district goals and objectives, the curriculum adopted by rule of the State Board of Education, and state and district performance standards.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill requires district school boards to provide additional textbooks to remain in each sixth through eighth grade classroom for classroom use by each student. The bill provides that the issuance of these textbooks will not affect the issuance of textbooks to each student.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 1006.28, F.S., requiring district school boards to purchase sufficient textbooks for each classroom for grades 6 through 8.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

¹ See 2006 Fact Sheet on Instructional Materials

² s. 1006.28, F.S.

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill does not appear to have a direct economic impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The cost of providing an extra set of textbooks for classroom use in grades 6 through 8 is approximately \$54 million. This cost is based on the assumption that one set of books could be used by four classes and would be funded through the instructional materials categorical.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to suicide prevention; providing legislative intent; providing for a pilot program to be conducted by the Signs of Suicide Prevention Program for secondary schools in specified counties; requiring the submission of proposals to the Department of Education; providing for student participation in the pilot program and for the provision of certain information to parents; requiring a report to the Legislature; providing an appropriation; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Signs of Suicide Prevention Program for secondary schools; pilot program; legislative intent.--

(1) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide support and funding for a pilot program conducted by the Signs of Suicide Prevention Program for secondary schools, hereinafter referred to as "SOS." SOS is a nationally recognized, evidence-based suicide prevention and depression screening program for secondary schools. SOS teaches students how to identify symptoms

of depression and suicidal tendencies in themselves and friends

while educating students about the relationship between depression and suicide and encouraging them to seek help. The

pilot program shall encourage collaboration with local mental

health facilities and individual professionals in order to facilitate increased access to treatment.

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

(2) During the 2006-2007 fiscal year, an SOS pilot program shall be conducted in Brevard, Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties. In order to receive funding under this act, an SOS entity for a county authorized to participate in the pilot program must submit to the Department of Education by September 1, 2006, a proposal for suicide prevention and depression screening for secondary school students who attend school in that county. The pilot program shall provide local school personnel in each participating county with the materials necessary for implementation. The entire student body or a select portion of the student body of a participating school shall be screened, depending on the school's resources. A student is not required to present identification in order to be screened. Prior to the screening, the parent of each student in a participating school shall be provided with a copy of the screening form and additional information to assist the parent in the identification of depression and suicidal tendencies and to help initiate family discussions. A parent may refuse to allow his or her child to participate in the pilot program. (3) By January 1, 2007, the SOS pilot program shall provide to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a report that includes an itemized list of program costs, an evaluation of participating schools, an assessment of the quality of the program components, an assessment of the safety of program implementation, an assessment of the burden on school support staff after implementation of the program, an assessment of the efficacy of the program, and recommendations for further legislation, which

may include an assessment of the feasibility of extending the program to secondary schools throughout the state. The information shall be reported for the pilot program in the aggregate, for each participating county, and for each participating school in each participating county.

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

Section 2. The sum of \$600,000 is appropriated from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of Education for the 2006-2007 fiscal year to be distributed to the Michael Buonauro Foundation to implement the Signs of Suicide Prevention Program as a pilot program for secondary schools in Brevard, Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties in accordance with this act.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 999

Suicide Prevention

SPONSOR(S): Adams TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1876

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Hatfield	Mizereck
2) Future of Florida's Families Committee			
3) Education Appropriations Committee			
4) Education Council			
5)		_	

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House bill 999 establishes a pilot program on suicide prevention and depression for secondary schools in Brevard, Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties during the 2006-2007 fiscal year.

In order for one of the authorized counties to receive funding, a proposal must be submitted to the Department of Education (DOE) by September 1, 2006.

The bill requires that local school personnel in each participating county receive materials; either the entire student body or a select portion of the student body of a participating school must be screened; and prior to the screening, the parent of each student must be provided with a copy of the screening form and additional information that will assist the parent in the identification of depression and suicidal tendencies along with helping initiate family discussions. The bill provides that a parent may refuse to allow his or her child to participate in the program.

The bill requires a report to the President of the Senate and Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 1, 2007.

The bill appropriates \$600,000 from the General Revenue Fund to the DOE for the 2006-2007 fiscal year for distribution to the Michael Buonauro Foundation. See FISCAL ANALYSIS for further details.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

h0999.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

DATE:

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provides Limited Government-- The bill establishes a pilot program for secondary schools in selected counties on suicide prevention and depression. The bill requires parents in participating schools to opt out of the program. The bill requires student screening.

Empower Families-- The bill requires parents of each student in a participating school to be provided with information that may assist the parent in the identification of depression and suicidal tendencies and to help initiate family discussions.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

According to the National Center for Health Statistics, the suicide rate for youths and young adults aged 15-24 years has tripled since 1950, and suicide is now the third leading cause of death in this age group. Recent studies indicate that the incidence of suicide attempts among adolescents may exceed 10% annually, although it is difficult to obtain reliable estimates because of the accompanying stigma with attempting suicide.¹

A relatively new approach to reducing the incidence of suicide among adolescents is found in Signs of Suicide (SOS), a school-based prevention program.² According to Screening for Mental Health, Inc., (SMH), the SOS Signs of Suicide Program is a nationally recognized, easily implemented, cost-effective program of suicide prevention for secondary school students. It is the only school-based program to:

- Show a reduction in suicide attempts (by 40%) in a randomized controlled study (American Journal of Public Health, March, 2004).
- Be selected by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) for its National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices (NREPP).

The SOS Program has also documented a dramatic increase in help-seeking. (Adolescent and Family Health, 2003).³

Secondary schools participating in the SOS program can choose from the following materials:

Video and Discussion Guide

The educational video helps students recognize the signs and symptoms of depression and potential suicidality in themselves or their friends and encourages help-seeking. Using real-life stories and dramatic vignettes, the video illustrates the right and wrong way for them to help friends displaying those signs. The Discussion Guide that accompanies the video helps teachers to focus classroom discussion, making sure that students understand the program's message of action and empowerment.

Screening Forms

The Brief Screen for Adolescent Depression (BSAD) is a 7-question screening tool that reinforces the information students receive regarding depression through the video and educational materials.

² *Id*.

³ www.mentalhealthscreening.org/highschool/

¹ Robert H. Aseltine Jr, PhD, and Robert DeMartino, MD, An Outcome Evaluation of the SOS Suicide Prevention Program, American Journal of Public Health, March 2004, Vol. 94, No. 3, at 446.

Screenings can be administered anonymously. Forms are available in English and Spanish.⁴ The screening form is scored by the students themselves; a score of 16 or higher on the CDS is considered a strong indicator of clinical depression, and the scoring and interpretation sheet that accompanies the screening form encourages students with such scores to seek help immediately.⁵

Following the video and/or screening, schools are encouraged to provide an opportunity for students to talk further with a school professional.⁶

The SOS program's primary objectives are to educate teens that depression is a treatable illness and to equip them to respond to a potential suicide in a friend or family member using the SOS technique. SOS is an action-oriented approach instructing students how to **ACT** (**A**cknowledge, **C**are and **Tell**) in the face of this mental health emergency.⁷

According to SAMHSA, the average amount of time to implement the program across 376 schools was approximately 2.5 days, although almost 40% of schools reported that they completed the program in one day. Results of a multi-site evaluation revealed:

- The average number of youth seeking counseling for depression/suicidality in the 30 days following the program (9.59) was significantly higher when compared with the average number of youth seeking help per month over the past year (3.93). This was an increase of almost 150%.
- There was a 70% increase in the average number of youth seeking counseling for depression/suicidality on behalf of a friend in the 30 days following the program (3.79) when compared with the average number of youth seeking help for a friend per month over the past year (2.25).
- The average number of youth seeking counseling for depression/suicidality remained high in the 3 months following the program (9.74) per month, and was significantly higher then the previous school year (3.93). There was also a 25% increase in the number of youths seeking help for a friend 3 months after implementation (2.78) when compared to the past year (2.25).

The Michael Buonauro Foundation

Judy and Frank Buonauro, whose son Michael died by suicide May 28, 2004, created the Michael Buonauro Foundation. The Foundation secured the SOS program for all public high school students in Orange County, Florida, for the 2005-2006 school year. Private schools were also invited to participate in the program.⁹

Effects of Proposed Changes

The bill establishes a pilot program on suicide prevention and depression for secondary schools in Brevard, Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties during the 2006-2007 fiscal year.

The bill provides legislative intent that includes the support and funding of a pilot program that is conducted by the Signs of Suicide Prevention Program, known as "SOS." It is also the intent of the Legislature for the pilot program to encourage collaboration with local mental health facilities and individual professionals in order to facilitate access to treatment.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

⁴ www.mentalhealthscreening.org/highschool/sos materials.aspx

⁵ Robert H. Aseltine Jr, PhD, and Robert DeMartino, MD, An Outcome Evaluation of the SOS Suicide Prevention Program, American Journal of Public Health, March 2004, Vol. 94, No. 3, at 446.

⁶ www.mentalhealthscreening.org/highschool/sos_materials.aspx

⁷ www.mentalhealthscreening.org/highschool/

⁸ http://modelprograms.samhsa.gov/

⁹ http://www.southwestorlandobulletin.com

In order for one of the counties authorized to participate in this pilot program to receive funding, the bill requires an SOS entity to submit to the DOE a proposal for suicide prevention and depression screening for secondary school students who attend school in that county. The proposal must be submitted by September 1, 2006.

The bill requires the pilot program to provide local school personnel in each participating county with the materials necessary for implementation. Dependent on the school's resources, either the entire student body or a select portion of the student body of a participating school must be screened. Students participating in the screening are not required to present identification in order to be screened. Prior to the screening, the parent of each student in a participating school must be provided with a copy of the screening form and additional information that will assist the parent in the identification of depression and suicidal tendencies along with helping initiate family discussions. The bill provides that a parent may refuse to allow his or her child to participate in the program.

The bill requires the SOS pilot program to provide a report to the President of the Senate and Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 1, 2007. The report must include the following:

- An itemized list of program costs.
- An evaluation of participating schools.
- An assessment of the quality of the program components.
- An assessment of the safety of program implementation.
- An assessment of the burden on school support staff after implementation.
- An assessment of the efficacy of the program.

The report must also include recommendations for further legislation, which may include an assessment of the feasibility of extending the program to secondary schools throughout the state. The information must be reported for the pilot program in the aggregate, for each participating county, and for each participating school in each participating county.

The bill appropriates \$600,000 from the General Revenue Fund to the DOE for the 2006-2007 fiscal year. The funds are then distributed to the Michael Buonauro Foundation to implement the SOS as a pilot program for secondary schools in the selected counties.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Providing for a pilot program to be conducted by the Signs of Suicide Prevention Program for secondary schools in specified counties; providing legislative intent; requiring the submission of proposals to the Department of Education; providing for student participation in the pilot program and for the provision of certain information to parents; and requiring a report to the Legislature.

Section 2: Provides a \$600,000 appropriation from the General Revenue Fund.

Section 3: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

PAGE: 4 STORAGE NAME: h0999.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

2. Expenditures:

This bill appropriates \$600,000 from the General Revenue Fund to the DOE for the 2006-2007 fiscal year. The DOE is required to distribute the funds to the Michael Buonauro Foundation to implement the Signs of Suicide Prevention Program as a pilot program for secondary schools in Brevard, Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h0999.PKT.doc PAGE: 5 3/17/2006

DATE:

HB 1221 2006

A bill to be entitled

...

An act relating to district school boards; creating s. 1001.364, F.S.; providing for an alternate procedure for the election of a district school board chair in any school district that does not have a district school board member elected at large; requiring a referendum and providing requirements for submitting such referendum to the electors; creating s. 1001.365, F.S.; providing for resolution of a tie vote by the district school board chair and district school board members; amending s. 1001.371, F.S., relating to organization of district school boards, to conform; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 1001.364, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

1001.364 Alternate procedure for election of district school board chair.--

accordance with the provisions of s. 1001.371 unless a proposition calling for the district school board chair to be elected as an additional school board member by districtwide

The district school board chair shall be elected in

vote is submitted to and approved by a majority of the

 qualified electors voting on such proposition in the manner provided in subsection (2).

(2) A proposition calling for the district school board chair to be elected by districtwide vote shall be submitted to

Page 1 of 5

the electors of the school district at any primary, general, or otherwise-called special election in either of the following manners:

(a) The district school board may adopt a formal resolution directing that the proposition be placed on the ballot; or

- (b) The electors of the school district may petition to have the proposition placed on the ballot by presenting to the district school board petitions signed by not less than 10 percent of the duly qualified electors residing within the school district. The number of signatures required shall be determined by the supervisor of elections according to the number of registered electors in the school district as of the date the petitioning electors register as a political committee as provided in subsection (3).
- (3) The electors petitioning to have the proposition placed on the ballot shall register as a political committee pursuant to s. 106.03, and a specific person shall be designated therein as chair of the committee to act for the committee.
- (4) Each petition form circulated shall include the following wording:

As a registered elector of the school district of

County, Florida, I am petitioning for a referendum

election to determine whether the district school board

chair shall be elected by districtwide vote.

Page 2 of 5

The petition shall also include space for the signature and address of the elector. Each signature obtained shall be dated when made and is valid for a period of 4 years after that date.

- (5) Upon the filing of the petitions with the district school board by the chair of the committee, the district school board shall submit the petitions to the supervisor of elections for verification of the signatures. Within a period of not more than 30 days, the supervisor of elections shall determine whether the petitions contain the required number of valid signatures. The supervisor of elections shall be paid by the committee seeking verification the sum of 10 cents for each signature checked.
- required signatures, the supervisor of elections shall certify the petitions to the district school board, which shall adopt a formal resolution requesting that an election date be set to conform to the earliest primary, general, or otherwise-called special election that occurs not less than 30 days after certification of the petitions. If it is determined that the petitions do not contain the required signatures, the supervisor of elections shall so notify the district school board, which shall file the petitions without taking further action, and the matter shall be at an end. No additional signatures may be added to the petitions, and the petitions may not be used in any other proceeding.
- (7) No special election may be called for the sole purpose of presenting the proposition to the vote of the electors.

HB 1221 2006

(8) Any school district adopting the proposition set forth in this section may thereafter return to the procedure otherwise provided by law by following the same procedure outlined in subsection (2).

- (9) If a proposition submitted to the electors under subsection (2) calling for the district school board chair to be elected by districtwide vote is approved by vote of the qualified electors, the office of district school board chair shall be filled at the next general election.
- (10) The vice chair of the district school board shall be elected by the members of the district school board as provided in s. 1001.371.
- (11) This section does not apply to school districts with district school board members elected at large.
- Section 2. Section 1001.365, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

district school board members.--Unless otherwise provided by law, in the event of a tie vote of the district school board chair and district school board members acting in any capacity, the side on which the district school board chair voted shall be deemed to prevail. For purposes of any vote of the district school board chair and district school board members acting in any capacity, action taken pursuant to that side of a tie vote on which the district school board chair voted satisfies the requirement that action be taken by a "majority" vote or a "simple majority" vote.

Page 4 of 5

HB 1221 2006

Section 3. Section 1001.371, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130 131

132

1001.371 Organization of district school board. -- On the third Tuesday after the first Monday in November of each year, the district school board shall organize by electing a chair. It may elect a vice chair, and the district school superintendent shall act ex officio as the secretary. If a vacancy should occur in the position of chair, the district school board shall proceed to elect a chair at the next ensuing regular or special meeting. At the organization meeting, the district school superintendent shall act as chair until the organization is completed. The chair and secretary shall then make and sign a copy of the proceedings of organization, including the schedule for regular meetings and the names and addresses of all district school officers, and annex their affidavits that the same is a true and correct copy of the original, and the secretary shall file the document within 2 weeks with the Department of Education. This section does not apply to any school district with a district school board chair who is elected by districtwide vote.

Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

Page 5 of 5

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1221

District School Boards

SPONSOR(S): Cannon

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2252

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Beagle	Mizereck
2) Education Council			
3)			
4)		·	
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Florida law provides procedures governing the composition of district school boards. Currently, district school board chairs are selected by the district school board on an annual basis.

The bill creates a procedure enabling school district voters to elect a school board chair in a general election.

The bill takes effect on July 1, 2006.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h1221.PKT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provides Limited Government-- The bill provides a procedure enabling school district voters to elect a single school board chair in a districtwide election.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation:

Florida law grants local district school boards authority to control K-12 education operations in the district. Section 4 of Article IX of the Florida Constitution provides that each school district must have a school board comprised of 5 members or more. School board members are elected to serve four year staggered terms, in nonpartisan elections. Florida law provides 3 options for electing school board members:

- Five member boards elected from five member residence areas:
- Seven member boards elected from five member residence areas, with two members elected at-large; and
- Seven member boards elected from seven member residence areas.³

All school district voters may vote for one candidate from each member residence area.4

Florida law provides an alternate procedure that, upon approval by school district voters, allows for single member representation within each district. Under this procedure, voters may only vote for one candidate from the school board member residence area in which they reside, and at-large candidates if applicable.

Currently, the district school board chair and vice chair are selected by the district school board each year at the school board's annual organizational meeting.⁶

Effect of Proposed Changes:

House bill 1221 establishes a procedure for the districtwide election of a school board chair as an additional member of the school board. The issue may be placed on the ballot for voter approval by district school board resolution or by voter petition.

The bill provides several requirements for the petition process. Once required signatures are obtained and verified, the district school board must adopt a formal resolution that the issue be decided in the earliest primary or general election or by special election. However, a special election may not be called solely for the purpose of deciding a proposition for districtwide election of a school board chair. Districts that adopt a proposition for district wide election of a school board chair must elect a school board chair at the next general election.

The provisions of the bill only apply to school districts with single member representation. District school boards in districts that vote for a school board chair by districtwide election are excluded from the provisions for selecting a school board chair contained in 1001.371, F.S.

Section 1001.571, F.

STORAGE NAME: h1221.PKT.doc **DATE**: 3/17/2006

¹ Section 4, Article IX, Florida Constitution and s. 1003.02, F.S.

² Section 4, Article IX, Florida Constitution.

³ Section 1001.36, F.S.

⁴ Section 1001.362, F.S.

⁵ Section 1001.362, F.S.

⁶ Section 1001.371, F.S.

Finally, the bill provides that in situations where a vote by members of a district school board results in a tie, the side in which the school board chair voted shall be deemed to prevail. The bill further provides that actions taken pursuant to tie votes decided in this fashion satisfy any requirements for a "majority" vote or "simple majority" vote.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates s. 1001.364, F.S.; establishing an alternate procedure for electing a district school board chair.

Section 2. Creates s. 1001.365, F.S.; providing procedures for deciding tie votes.

Section 3. Amends s. 1001.371, F.S.; exempting district school boards with districtwide elected chairs from the provisions of this section.

Section 4. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

STORAGE NAME: h1221.PKT.doc PAGE: 3 3/17/2006

2. Other:	
None.	

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

PAGE: 4 STORAGE NAME: h1221.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to early learning; establishing the Early Learning Quality Incentives Task Force to be administratively housed in the Agency for Workforce Innovation; providing duties and membership; requiring recommendations to the Governor and Legislature; providing for termination of the task force; requiring the Department of Children and Family Services, in cooperation with the Agency for Workforce Innovation, to contract for a study relating to the administration and regulation of child care services; requiring submission of findings to the task force for purposes of its final recommendations; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Early Learning Quality Incentives Task Force. --

- (1) There is established the Early Learning Quality
 Incentives Task Force to be administratively housed in the
 Agency for Workforce Innovation. The Agency for Workforce
 Innovation is authorized to contract with one or more nationally
 known experts on quality incentives and rating systems to serve
 as facilitators and resources in directing the task force. The
 task force shall perform all of the following duties:
- (a) Identify and examine existing early learning quality incentives and rating systems in the state.

Page 1 of 4

(b) Identify and examine early learning quality incentives and rating systems in other states, including, but not limited to, systems in North Carolina and Oklahoma.

- (c) Develop a recommended early learning quality incentives and rating system that includes uniform standards and levels of financial quality incentives for participating providers.
- (d) Recommend appropriate levels of financial commitment of state resources to ensure quality early learning programs.
- (e) Recommend initial investment of quality incentive dollars for pilot programs in five counties.
- (2) (a) The task force shall consist of representatives appointed by the Governor from each of the following categories:
- 1. One member each from a large, medium, and small children's services council with an appropriate mix from urban and rural counties.
- 2. One chair each from a large, medium, and small early learning coalition with an appropriate mix from urban and rural counties.
 - 3. One member from an early learning services provider.
- $\underline{\text{4. One member from a private child care provider}}$ association.
- 5. One member from a faith-based child care provider association.
- 6. One member each from a community college and a state university with a child care training component.
- 7. One parent who currently has a child in an early learning program.

Page 2 of 4

(b) The task force shall also consist of the following members:

62 ¹

- 1. A member of the Senate appointed by the President of the Senate.
- 2. A member of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.
- 3. A member appointed by the Secretary of Children and Family Services who has expertise in child care licensing and regulation.
- 4. A member appointed by the Commissioner of Education who has expertise in the education of young children.
- (3) The members of the task force shall serve without compensation but shall be entitled to receive reimbursement for per diem and travel expenses as provided in s. 112.061, Florida Statutes.
- (4) The task force shall submit its recommendations to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by February 15, 2007, at which time the task force shall be terminated.
- Section 2. The Department of Children and Family Services, in cooperation with the Agency for Workforce Innovation, shall contract with an independent research institution to conduct a study of the administrative and operational structure of the Child Care Services Program Office of the Department of Children and Family Services that will include a review of all resources supporting the office as well as a review of child care services offices in other states. The findings of the study shall include a recommendation regarding the appropriate administrative and

Page 3 of 4

operational structures and the appropriate state agency to administer child care regulation functions. The findings of the study shall be submitted to the Early Learning Quality

Incentives Task Force by January 1, 2007, for incorporation into the final recommendations of the task force.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

83

84

85

86

87

88

Page 4 of 4

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1233

Early Learning

SPONSOR(S): Ausley

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2376

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Beagle	Mizereck
2) Finance & Tax Committee			
3) Education Appropriations Committee			
4) Education Council		_	
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Currently, there is no statewide system for rating and providing incentives based on the quality and performance of early learning service providers.

The bill creates a task force to study existing early learning rating and incentive systems and prescribes certain duties.

The bill requires the Agency for Workforce Innovation (AWI) and the Department of Children and Family Services (DCF) to contract with an independent research institution to conduct an independent study of the administrative and operational structure of the Child Care Services Program Office of the DCF.

The bill takes effect upon becoming law.

The bill has a minimal fiscal impact. See Fiscal Comments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1233.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

This bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation:

Current Florida law requires the AWI to administer the state's early learning programs.¹ AWI is responsible for maintaining and improving the quality of publicly funded early learning programs.² Federal regulations governing the Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) require that at least 4 percent of federal funding from the CCDF be devoted to quality initiatives.³ Florida currently spends \$24.2 million, constituting 5.4 percent of its CCDF funds, on quality initiatives.⁴

According to the AWI, there is no state system in place that rates or provides incentives to providers based on higher quality services or performance. However, early learning service providers that meet certain national standards may earn a Gold Seal Quality Care designation pursuant to s. 402.281, F.S.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

House Bill 1233 establishes the Early Learning Quality and Incentives Task Force to study existing early learning quality initiatives in Florida and other states. The bill requires the task force to make recommendations pertaining to the development and funding of a statewide rating and incentive system for providers of early learning services, and appropriate levels of state funding to ensure quality learning programs. Task force recommendations are to be submitted to the Governor, President of the Senate, and Speaker of the House of Representatives.

The bill requires the AWI to administer the task force and authorizes it to contract with outside experts. The bill provides for the composition and appointment of Task Force members.

In addition, the bill requires the DCF and AWI to contract with an independent research institution to conduct an independent study of the administrative and operational structure of the Child Care Services Program Office of the DCF. The bill requires that this study be submitted to the task force so it may be incorporated into its final recommendations.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

- Section 1. Creates an unnumbered section of law; establishes a task force and provides duties.
- Section 2. Creates an unnumbered section of law requiring an independent study.
- Section 3. Provides that the bill is effective upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h1233.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

¹ Section 411.01(4), F.S.

² Section 411.01(4)(b)3., F.S.

³ 45 C.F.R. § 98.51.

⁴ Agency for Workforce Innovation, Legislative Bill Analysis for SB 2376.

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill has a fiscal impact on state government expenditures. See Fiscal Comments.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The AWI will incur costs associated with administering the task force. The AWI and the DCF will incur costs in contracting for the independent study. While the cost has not been determined, estimates from the agencies and bill sponsor range from \$250,000 to \$500,000.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

A bill to be entitled

1 2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27 An act relating to supplemental educational services; providing for student access to and provider accountability for supplemental educational services in Title I schools; providing definitions; providing responsibilities of the Department of Education, local educational agencies, providers of supplemental educational services, and parents to provide additional academic instruction designed to increase the academic achievement of eligible students; providing criteria that must be met by a provider approved by the department; providing for department monitoring and evaluation of provider performance; providing a complaint process for determination of provider and local educational agency compliance with law; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

- Supplemental educational services in Title I Section 1. schools; student access and provider accountability .--
 - (1) DEFINITIONS.--As used in this section:
- "Adequate yearly progress" or "AYP" means performance based on a series of performance goals that each school, each local educational agency, and the state must achieve within specified timeframes in order to meet the 100-percent proficiency goal established by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Page 1 of 18

(b) "Eligible student" means a student from a low-income family who attends a Title I school in the school's second year of school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring, as defined by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

- (c) "Instructor" or "tutor" means a person employed by a supplemental educational service provider to deliver instruction in reading, language arts, or mathematics to eligible students enrolled in the provider's program.
- (d) "Local educational agency" or "LEA" means a local board of education.
- (e) "No Child Left Behind Act of 2001" or "NCLB" is a reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, which is the principal federal law affecting education from kindergarten through high school. The NCLB is designed to improve student achievement and close achievement gaps. States are required to develop challenging academic standards, educate all students to 100-percent proficiency by 2014, and create and implement a single, statewide accountability system.
- (f) "Parent" means the person or persons legally responsible for the guardianship of the student, including a legal guardian.
- (g) "Supplemental educational service providers" or "SES providers" are faith-based organizations, for-profit and nonprofit businesses, local educational agencies, schools, institutes of higher education, community groups, and regional educational service agencies approved by the Department of Education to provide additional academic instruction designed to increase the academic achievement of eligible Title I students.

(h) "Supplemental educational services" or "SES" means additional academic instruction provided outside the regular school day that is designed to increase the academic achievement of low-income students, as defined by eligibility for free or reduced-price meals, who attend qualifying schools as defined by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

- (i) "Title I" is the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 program that focuses on improving the academic achievement of disadvantaged students by ensuring that all students have a fair, equal, and significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education and reach, at a minimum, proficiency on challenging state academic standards and assessments.
 - (2) REQUIREMENTS. --

- (a) State responsibilities.--The Department of Education shall:
- 1. Consult with parents, teachers, school districts, and interested members of the public to identify a large number of SES providers so that parents have a wide variety of high-quality choices.
- 2. Provide and disseminate broadly an annual notice to potential providers outlining the process for obtaining approval to be an SES provider. There shall be at least two opportunities each year for potential providers to submit their applications to the department.
- 3. Develop and apply objective criteria for approving potential providers. Each provider's SES program shall:

a. Include an appropriate, diagnostic assessment for use in identifying a student's weaknesses and achievement gaps upon which to build an individual student learning plan and learning goals.

- b. Use targeted remediation or instruction that is aimed at addressing a student's skill gaps revealed during the assessment and that is based upon an individual student learning plan.
- c. Include a post assessment linked to the diagnostic assessment to determine whether student learning gains occurred and to further develop a plan for either reteaching skills or identifying new skills for instruction.
- d. Align with the Sunshine State Standards in the area of reading or mathematics, or both.
- e. Supplement the academic program a student experiences in the regular school day.
- f. Use high-quality, research-based instructional practices that are specifically designed to increase students' academic achievement.
 - 4. Maintain an updated list of approved providers.
- 5. Exercise authority to investigate and remove providers from the approved list based on evaluation results.
- 6. Make available to school districts a list of available approved providers in their general geographic locations.
- 7. Develop, implement, and publicly report on monitoring standards for providers to ensure the quality and effectiveness of services offered by approved providers.

8. Ensure that an LEA has fully met parental demands for SES. In determining whether an LEA has fully met parental demands for SES, the department shall consider whether an LEA has:

- a. Appropriately notified all eligible parents of the availability of SES.
- b. Adequately publicized options to parents through multiple forums in understandable formats and languages.
- c. Offered parents a reasonable period of time to investigate their options and submit their requests for SES.
- 9. No later than May 1 each year, notify LEAs of the specific schools that are in the second year of school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and have not achieved AYP since such identification.
- 10. Place on its Internet website a standard, downloadable enrollment application to be used by parents of eligible students, which must be used by all LEAs for SES enrollment purposes.
 - (b) LEA responsibilities. -- An LEA shall:
- 1. No later than 90 days prior to the start of the school year, notify parents of eligible students about the availability of SES. Notification shall meet the following criteria:
 - a. Be sent at least twice annually.
- b. Be provided in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand.
 - c. Describe how parents may obtain services.

Page 5 of 18

d. Provide a minimum of 20 school days for parents to select and notify the LEA regarding a selected provider.

- e. Create a streamlined, one-step SES parent registration and provider selection process that is user friendly.
- 2. Help parents choose a provider, if such assistance is requested, making sure that such assistance is unbiased and does not provide advantage for one provider over another, including the LEA if such LEA is an approved provider, and obtain permission from parents to release assessment data to a selected provider.
- 3. Determine and prioritize students who shall receive services if not all students can be served. Determination shall be made in accordance with eligibility criteria established in federal law and with guidance from the United States Department of Education, ensuring that prioritization does not take place in advance of actual demand being documented and shall be based on the 20-percent set-aside minus any actual costs associated with providing transportation for public school choice pursuant to subparagraph 18.
- 4. Determine the per-student spending limit according to federal law only, which amount shall not be reduced or otherwise altered.
- 5. Ensure that the opportunity to acquire SES is offered to eligible students on a continuous basis or, at a minimum, twice every school year, such as once at or near the start of the school year and once at or near the start of each new calendar year. An LEA that does not offer at least two

opportunities for SES enrollment shall not amend unobligated SES into the general Title I budget.

- 6. Enter into an agreement with a provider selected by the parent of an eligible student no later than 45 days after the beginning of the school year or within 45 days after receiving notification of school improvement status. The same procedure shall be followed for subsequent enrollments during the school year. An LEA that does not begin to offer SES within such time periods shall not amend unobligated SES funds into the general Title I budget. The agreement shall include, at a minimum:
- a. A statement of specific achievement goals for each eligible student whose parent elects to receive SES from the approved provider.
 - b. A description of how student progress will be measured.
- c. Progress reports for each student to whom a provider gives services under the agreement.
- d. Procedures for obtaining parental consent to release assessment data to a selected provider.
- e. Procedures for termination of the agreement with the provider based on specific and material cause and include an opportunity for the provider to cure any such breach.

 Termination for convenience clauses shall not be allowed.
- f. The payment process for students receiving SES, with reimbursement for services to occur within 60 days following submission of a complete invoice.
 - g. Records of attendance for each student receiving SES.
- h. Security of information relating to students receiving
 SES.

Page 7 of 18

i. The procedure for facility access for providers, using a fair, transparent, and objective process, to operate on site in a school or schools identified for school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring, free of charge or for a reasonable fee, on the same basis and terms as are available to other groups that seek access to the school building.

- $\underline{\text{j.}}$ The process for records maintenance of a provider's SES to students.
- k. Guidelines specifying secular, neutral, and nonideological instruction and content.

- 1. An outline of applicable federal, state, and local laws, and rules and regulations required by law, in connection with providing tutorial service.
- 7. Establish monitoring procedures to ensure that providers fulfill their contractual obligations. Monitoring should include tracking student progress toward meeting the state's academic standards.
- 8. Select an approved provider or providers, using a fair, transparent, and objective process, to operate on site in a school or schools identified for school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring, free of charge or for a reasonable fee, on the same basis and terms as are available to other groups that seek access to the school building. The LEA shall not select a provider or providers based on a reduced perstudent amount as calculated under federal law or other criteria that would otherwise be a department responsibility or programmatic design criteria, such as the requirement of specific student-tutor ratios.

Page 8 of 18

9. Enter into a compact with the provider, parent, and student. The compact, which shall be maintained for monitoring purposes, shall include, at a minimum:

- a. A notification letter to the parent of a student who is eligible to receive SES from an approved provider.
- b. Procedures regarding how the SES provider may contact schools and parents regarding available services.
- c. Development of a collaborative relationship with the LEA to ensure that issues and concerns are handled in a timely and efficient manner.
- d. Specific achievement goals for the student, which shall be developed in consultation with the student's parent.
- e. An established timetable for improving the student's achievement.
- f. Selection of a provider from the department's approved provider list.
 - g. Scheduled tutoring sessions.

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232233

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

- 10. Assist the department as needed in identifying potential providers within the school district.
- 11. Provide the information the department needs to monitor the quality and effectiveness of the SES offered by providers as specified in federal law.
- 12. Protect the privacy of students who receive SES. The LEA shall provide achievement data of students to providers serving those students.
- 13. Notify parents immediately if a provider becomes ineligible to serve as an SES provider. Notification shall

Page 9 of 18

247 include the steps parents must follow in order to secure another provider.

- 14. Provide approved providers with registration forms and logistical information, including the procedures parents must follow in obtaining SES for their children.
- 15. While appealing an AYP decision, continue to provide services while the appeal is being resolved and a final AYP determination is being made. If an appeal is granted, the LEA shall continue to serve students currently receiving SES until the end of the contract period but is not obligated to provide SES to additional students.
- 16. Include in a school improvement plan steps to ensure that eligible students will receive SES as required by law whenever a school is classified as needing improvement for a second or subsequent year.
- 17. Ensure that eligible students from any school that is in the second year of school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and has not achieved AYP at least once since such identification shall be offered SES before the start of the school year.
- 18. Set aside up to 20 percent of its Title I, Part A allocation for SES. Before determining that an amount less than 20 percent of its allocation is needed for choice-related transportation and SES, an LEA shall document to the department that it has fully met demands for these services. An LEA must document, and make publicly available, that it has:
- a. Appropriately notified all parents of eligible students of the availability of public school choice and SES.

Page 10 of 18

b. Adequately publicized the options to parents in understandable formats and multiple forums.

275

276

277

278

279280

295

296

297

298

299

- c. Offered parents a reasonable period of time to investigate their options and submit their requests for either public school choice or SES.
- LEAs may amend unobligated SES funds into the general Title I 281 budget by the May 15 consolidated application budget amendment 282 deadline by ensuring that a minimum of 50 percent of the 283 students eligible to receive SES are served by an approved 284 provider. LEAs not meeting the 50-percent criteria shall submit 285 to the department a list of eligible students, students 286 receiving services, and otherwise eligible students on a wait 287 list. LEAs must develop additional plans to notify, enroll, and 288 serve sufficient numbers of SES students until the maximum Title 289 I funds are utilized or at least 50 percent of the eligible 290 students are served, whichever comes first. LEAs must obtain an 291 affirmative election from the parents of unserved, but otherwise 292 eligible, students that they decline to participate in SES for 293 that school year. 294
 - (c) Provider responsibilities. -- The provider shall:
 - 1. Agree to negotiate directly with LEAs to determine scheduled sessions per student. Cost of services shall not exceed the per-student spending limit calculated by each LEA.
 - 2. Set specific achievement goals for each student, which shall be developed in consultation with each student's parent.

3. Provide a description of how each student's progress 301 will be measured and how each student's parent and instructors 302 will be regularly informed of that progress. 303 4. Establish a timetable for improving each student's 304 achievement. 305 5. Agree not to disclose to the public the identity of any 306 student eligible for or receiving SES without the written 307 permission of the student's parent. 308 6. Agree to meet all applicable federal, state, and local 309 health, safety, and civil rights laws. 310 7. Ensure that all instruction and content are secular, 311 neutral, and nonideological. 312 8. Ensure that instruction is consistent with student 313 achievement goals. 314 9. Agree to abide by the education industry association's 315 current version of the SES code of ethics. 316 (d) Parent responsibilities. -- The parent shall: 317 1. Request SES for the student. 318 2. Select a provider from the department's approved 319 provider list. 320 3. Transport students to and from the place of service 321 when not provided by the provider. 322 4. Work with the provider to set achievement goals for the 323 324 student. 5. Maintain open communication with a provider about a 325 student's progress. 326

Page 12 of 18

1. Providers shall meet the following criteria:

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

(e) Provider criteria.--

327

a. Have a demonstrated record of effectiveness in improving student academic achievement.

- b. Document that the instructional strategies used by the provider are of high quality, based upon research, and designed to increase student academic achievement.
- c. Document that services are aligned with the Sunshine State Standards in the area of reading or mathematics, or both.
- d. Provide evidence that the provider is financially sound.
- e. Document that the provider will provide SES consistent with all applicable federal, state, and local health, safety, and civil rights laws.
- f. Meet all requirements set forth in guidelines issued by the department, including, but not limited to, reporting requirements, application requirements, deadlines, timelines, and standards.
- g. Provide instruction that is secular, neutral, and nonideological.
- 2. Providers applying for statewide provider status upon request shall serve students in any LEA regardless of the geographical location. Providers approved for statewide provider status may be removed from the provider list if this requirement is not met. Providers removed from the statewide list may reapply and specify a geographical area for their service.
 - (f) Monitoring and evaluation.--
- 1. The department shall monitor, at least annually, all providers currently serving students. Monitoring shall be

Page 13 of 18

conducted at a representative sample of the locations at which the provider serves participating students.

- a. The department shall schedule with the provider a mutually agreeable date and time for a monitoring visit. Prior to a monitoring visit, the department shall send to the provider, in writing, confirmation of the scheduled date and time.
- b. Prior to a monitoring visit, the department shall notify the provider of all documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with all applicable state and federal laws related to SES. The provider may request technical assistance from the department in identifying the relevant documents.
- c. A provider's performance on each monitoring standard and a provider's overall performance rating shall be indicated on the SES provider monitoring form. The department shall send to the provider, in a timely manner, a copy of the completed monitoring form that includes notes regarding items of documentation that are missing or incomplete.
- 2. The department shall develop specific procedures to annually evaluate all providers that have served students for 2 or more consecutive years in reading, language arts, or mathematics. These procedures shall:
- a. Account for, and be fair to, providers that serve both large and small populations of students and that use varying methods of instruction.

b. Be fair and sensitive enough to record gains of individual students, especially students whose achievement level is several grades behind grade level.

382 l

- c. Isolate the effects of SES from other variables that might affect a student's achievement using regression analysis, comparison groups, or other valid and reliable statistical means.
- d. Collect qualitative data on parental satisfaction with provider services.
- e. Include safeguards against potential conflicts of interests when the LEA is also an approved provider and is involved in provider monitoring and evaluation.
- 3. If the department determines that a provider has failed to contribute to increasing the academic proficiency of students for 2 or more consecutive years in reading, language arts, or mathematics in a specific LEA, the department shall remove the provider from the approved provider list for that LEA.
- 4. The provider shall have the opportunity to appeal the department's decision to the State Board of Education. The provider may reapply to the department for approval after a 1-year waiting period.
 - 5. The department shall require an LEA to submit:
- a. The parental notification letters the LEA has developed and utilized to inform parents of eligible students.
- b. At least twice during the school year, updated information on how many students in the LEA are eligible for SES and how many students make use of SES.

Page 15 of 18

c. How much money, in total dollars and per student, is being spent by the LEA on SES.

(g) Complaint process.--

- 1. The department shall monitor complaints from parents, students, SES providers, school districts, and other individuals to determine whether LEAs and SES providers are in compliance with the applicable state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and guidance governing the provision of SES. The department shall annually provide a summary report to the State Board of Education.
- 2. An organization or individual may file with the department a signed, written complaint setting forth allegations of noncompliance. The written complaint shall include, at a minimum:
 - a. A clear statement of the allegation.
- b. A summary of the facts upon which the allegation is based.
 - c. Any documentation supporting the allegation.
 - d. The complainant's contact information, including the name of an individual complainant or an authorized representative of the complainant organization and the address and telephone number of the individual or representative.
 - 3. Complaints received from an organization or individual shall be signed and addressed in writing to the department.
 - 4. The department shall acknowledge, in writing, its receipt of a complaint within 15 business days.
- 5. The department shall, in a timely manner, commence an investigation of the allegations set forth in the complaint and

Page 16 of 18

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

make an independent determination as to whether the allegations warrant further review or action.

- 6. If necessary, the department may conduct an onsite visit to clarify any issues raised by the complaint. An onsite investigation team may examine relevant records and conduct interviews of relevant persons to determine whether there has been a violation of any applicable state or federal law, rule, regulation, or guideline.
- 7. The department shall send written notification to all appropriate parties of the steps necessary to resolve the complaint, including technical assistance activities, negotiations, and corrective actions to achieve compliance. This notification may include specific requirements and timelines that must be met in order to ensure that providers other than LEAs continue to receive SES funds from the LEA. LEAs that are providers shall meet the requirements in order to ensure that funds equal to the amount of their SES set-aside are available in the department's grants accounting system.
- 8. Upon conclusion of the department's investigation, the department shall take appropriate action to remedy violations of applicable laws, rules, regulations, or guidelines, including removal of a provider from the approved provider list.
- 9. If the department makes the decision to remove a provider from the approved provider list, the LEA shall be notified no later than 10 business days after the department's action. Each provider notified of the decision shall have the right to appeal such decision prior to its becoming final.

10. If an LEA does not comply with providing SES to eligible students within the established timeframe, the department shall withhold funds equal to the amount of the LEA's SES set-aside funds until the LEA complies.

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

11. If funds are withheld from an LEA for not providing SES to eligible students within the specified timeframe, the department may enter into agreements with providers in lieu of the LEA.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

Page 18 of 18

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1373

SPONSOR(S): Attkisson

Supplemental Educational Services

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2616

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee	_	Beagle	Mizereck
2) Education Appropriations Committee			
3) Education Council			
4)			
5)	_		

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB) authorizes the use of federal funds to provide supplemental educational services (SES) to low income children attending low performing schools. States are required to adopt standards governing the provision of SES to eligible students. Currently, there are no provisions in Florida law establishing state standards for SES services.

The bill establishes statewide standards governing the provision of SES and prescribes certain responsibilities to the Department of Education (DOE), local education agencies (LEA), SES providers, and parents.

The bill sets an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This bill does not have a fiscal impact. See Fiscal Comments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1373.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Safeguard Individual Liberty: The bill requires the Department of Education and school districts to take certain measures to increase access to supplemental educational services.

Empower Families: The bill increases opportunities for parents to enroll their child in supplemental education services.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation:

NCLB authorizes the use of federal funds to provide SES to low income children attending low performing schools. SES may include tutoring, additional instruction, or other services provided outside of the regular school day in reading, language arts, or mathematics.¹

Generally, a student is eligible for SES if the student meets school district established criteria for low income status and is attending a school subject to restructuring or corrective action or in its second year of school improvement.² NCLB requires state education agencies (SEA) to take certain measures to promote provider participation in the provision of SES.³ Each SEA must also follow federally established criteria in establishing state standards for approving providers. Each SEA must maintain and disseminate to school districts a list of the approved providers available in each school district.

School districts are required by NCLB to promptly inform parents that the school their child is attending is in need of improvement.⁴ In addition, school districts must provide parents a list of state-approved providers.⁵ Parents may utilize approved programs conducted by a non-profit entity, a for-profit entity, LEA, an educational service agency, a public school, a public charter school, or a private school.⁶

Currently, there are no provisions in Florida law establishing state standards for SES services.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

House bill 1373 establishes statewide standards governing the provision of SES and prescribes certain responsibilities to the DOE, LEA, SES providers, and parents. Many of these requirements are already in federal law and some build on current requirements of federal law.

Department of Education Responsibilities:

- Identify, notify, promote participation, and approve potential providers.
- Develop pre- and post-assessments to identify and target instruction to student needs and monitor the effectiveness of services.
- Maintain a statewide and regional list of approved providers, and make lists available to school districts.
- Develop standards for monitoring quality and effectiveness of provider services.
- Ensure that LEAs have met obligations to parents.

¹ 34 C.F.R. § 200.45.

² U.S. Department of Education, Supplemental Education Services: Quick Reference for Parents, available at http://www.ed.gov/parents/academic/help/supplemental-services.html (Accessed Mar. 16, 2006).

³ Id.

⁴ 34 C.F.R. § 200.37.

⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 200.46.

⁶ 34 C.F.R § 200.47

- Notify LEAs of specific schools that are subject to restructuring or corrective action or in the second year of school improvement.
- Post a downloadable enrollment application on the DOE website.

Local Education Agency Responsibilities:

- Provide recurrent notification to parents of eligible students about the availability of SES.
- Assist parents in obtaining and registering for services.
- Determine per-student funding based on federal law limits.
- Follow prescribed procedures for agreements with SES providers.
- Approve providers in a fair and transparent manner and establish procedures for monitoring provider quality and performance.

Provider Responsibilities:

- Set and target instruction to student achievement goals.
- Establish and explain procedures for monitoring progress and notifying parents and classroom instructors of student progress.
- Ensure that all instruction is secular, neutral, and nonideological.

Parent Responsibilities:

- Request services and select a provider.
- Provide transportation to the student when not otherwise provided by the provider.
- Work with providers to set student goals and maintain open communication with the provider.

In addition, the bill establishes eligibility criteria that SES providers must meet to gain state approval. The bill requires the DOE to establish a system for conducting annual evaluations of all SES providers. Similarly, the bill establishes a complaint process for parents, students, LEAs, and SES providers for determining whether the DOE and LEAs are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations governing SES.

The bill authorizes the DOE to withhold Title I funds from LEAs that fail to provide SES to eligible students.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates an unnumbered section of law governing the provision of SES.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

Revenues:

STORAGE NAME: PAGE: 3 h1373.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill requires the DOE and LEAs to take certain measures to notify parents of eligible students of the availability of supplemental educational services. Private providers approved by DOE may experience an increase in demand for their services.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

NCLB requires school districts with schools subject to restructuring or corrective action or in the second year of school improvement to set aside 20% of their Title I funds to pay for SES. The bill requires LEAs to establish per student funding amounts and take certain measures to increase the availability of SES to eligible students. The increased demand for SES that is likely to occur will result in greater expenditures of Title I funds within the 20% set aside for providing SES.

The bill prohibits school districts from using leftover SES funds for other Title I purposes unless the district ensures that a minimum of 50% of eligible students are being served. School districts are required to take additional measures to notify, enroll, and serve SES students and must also obtain a documented denial of services from each parent who does not enroll their student in SES. After complying with these measures, the district still may not use the funds for other Title I purposes unless it meets the 50% criteria or until the full 20% set-aside has been utilized.

The bill allows the DOE to withhold Title I funds from school districts that fail to meet certain obligations pertaining to SES services.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

It appears that the bill would preclude school districts with unused SES funds that fall short of the 50% requirement from ever using those funds for other Title I purposes. Even districts that make reasonable, good faith efforts to notify, enroll, and serve as many eligible SES students as practicable may never meet the 50% enrollment requirement. Many factors outside the district's control could contribute to its not meeting 50% enrollment, such as an absence of available approved providers or high numbers of parents not choosing SES services.

PAGE: 4 STORAGE NAME: h1373.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

DATE:

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

PAGE: 5

HB 1377 2006

A bill to be entitled

1|

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

An act relating to district school boards; amending s. 1003.02, F.S.; requiring each district school board that selects a vendor to market student class rings to select a vendor that meets certain criteria; requiring each district school board to notify students and parents that the purchase of a class ring may be through any vendor marketing class rings and that a student may participate in related ceremonies or activities regardless of the vendor through which the purchase was made; providing an

11 12 effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

14 15

16

17

13

Section 1. Subsection (5) is added to section 1003.02, Florida Statutes, to read:

18 19 20

21

24

25

26

27

in part II of chapter 1001, district school boards are constitutionally and statutorily charged with the operation and control of public K-12 education within their school district.

public K-12 education within the school district. -- As provided

1003.02 District school board operation and control of

The district school boards must establish, organize, and operate their public K-12 schools and educational programs, employees,

and facilities. Their responsibilities include staff

development, public K-12 school student education including

education for exceptional students and students in juvenile

justice programs, special programs, adult education programs,

Page 1 of 2

HB 1377

and career education programs. Additionally, district school boards must:

- (5) (a) If selecting a vendor to market class rings to students, select a vendor that does not intimidate students with respect to the purchase of class rings or discriminate against a student who purchases a class ring from another vendor by excluding the student from participating in any ceremony or activity relating to the receipt of a class ring.
- (b) Notify in writing each student and his or her parent that the student may purchase his or her class ring through any vendor regardless of the fact that the district school board may contract with a vendor for marketing class rings. The notification must include an explanation of the right of each student purchasing a class ring to participate in any ceremony or activity relating to the receipt of a class ring.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1377

District School Boards

SPONSOR(S): Arza

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2748

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Beagle	Mizereck
2) Education Appropriations Committee			
3) Education Council			
4)			
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Florida law grants local district school boards authority to control K-12 education operations in the district.

House bill 1377 establishes criteria for district school boards' selection of class ring vendors and requires written notice to parents and students.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1377.PKT.doc

DATE:

3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provides Limited Government-- The bill places certain requirements on district school boards' selection of class ring vendors.

Safeguard Individual Liberty-- The bill requires districts to provide information so that students know they may purchase class rings from vendors not under contract with the school district.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Florida law grants local district school boards authority to control K-12 education operations in the district. Educational curricula, facilities operation and maintenance, student discipline and attendance policies, transportation, reporting and record keeping are among the duties prescribed to district school boards in statute.¹

House bill 1377 establishes criteria that district school boards must follow in selecting vendors to market class rings. The bill requires that the selected vendor may not intimidate students and that students be allowed to purchase class rings from any vendor and participate in class ring ceremonies regardless of their choice of class ring vendor. The bill requires district school boards to provide written notification to students and parents that students may purchase a class ring through any vendor, regardless of the district's contractual arrangements.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2006.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 1003.02, F.S.; providing certain requirements pertaining to class ring vendors.

Section 2. Providing an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

¹ Section 1003.02, F.S.

STORAGE NAME:

h1377.PKT.doc 3/17/2006 This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C	DIRECT	ECONOMIC	IMPACT	ON PRIVAT	F SECTOR [,]
U .		LOCIACIAIIO	IIVII / NO I	OIN I INVIN	

This bill may increase the number of students choosing to buy class rings from vendors not under contract with the district school board.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME:

h1377.PKT.doc 3/17/2006 PAGE: 3

HB 1427

A bill to be entitled 1 An act relating to education; amending s. 1002.20, F.S.; 2 providing that academy programs shall be an additional 3 public school choice option; amending s. 1002.31, F.S.; 4 requiring district school boards to offer controlled open 5 enrollment within the public schools and revising 6 components of the controlled open enrollment plan; 7 creating s. 1002.391, F.S.; requiring the Department of 8 Education to develop a plan for school districts to 9 establish academy programs in the public schools; 10 authorizing parents to transfer their children to 11 different academy programs and schools; providing funding 12 for student transportation; amending s. 1008.22, F.S.; 13 requiring the Commissioner of Education to adopt 14 performance standards, set goals, and provide resources to 15 meet constitutional requirements; requiring development 16 and implementation of the FCAT Pretest as a diagnostic 17 tool; amending s. 1008.33, F.S.; revising requirements 18 relating to State Board of Education enforcement of public 19 school improvement; specifying academy program and school 20 performance categories; amending s. 1008.34, F.S.; 21 revising provisions relating to the school and school 22 district performance grading system; providing performance 23 categories for academy programs and schools; providing the basis for performance category designations; providing 25 school district tools for maintenance of high performance 26 standards; amending s. 1008.36, F.S.; renaming the Florida 27 School Recognition Program as the Every Child Matters 28

Page 1 of 19

Program; revising program intent, purpose, participation, and use of funds; requiring the department to provide training and resources for certain student testing by educators; requiring department policies and procedures for the development of student individual education plans; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (6) of section 1002.20, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

1002.20 K-12 student and parent rights.--Parents of public school students must receive accurate and timely information regarding their child's academic progress and must be informed of ways they can help their child to succeed in school. K-12 students and their parents are afforded numerous statutory rights including, but not limited to, the following:

(6) EDUCATIONAL CHOICE. --

(a) Public school choices.--Parents of public school students may seek whatever public school choice options that are applicable to their students and are available to students in their school districts. These options may include controlled open enrollment, lab schools, charter schools, charter technical career centers, magnet schools, alternative schools, special programs, academy programs, advanced placement, dual enrollment, International Baccalaureate, International General Certificate of Secondary Education (pre-AICE), Advanced International Certificate of Education, early admissions, credit by

Page 2 of 19

examination or demonstration of competency, the New World School of the Arts, the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind, and the Florida Virtual School. These options may also include the public school choice options of the Opportunity Scholarship Program and the McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program.

Section 2. Subsections (1), (2), and (5) of section 1002.31, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

1002.31 Public school parental choice.--

- (1) As used in this section, "controlled open enrollment" means a public education delivery system that allows school districts to make student school assignments using parents' indicated preferential school choice as a significant factor.
- (2) Each district school board shall may offer controlled open enrollment within the public schools. The controlled open enrollment program shall be offered in addition to the existing choice programs such as magnet schools, alternative schools, special programs, academy programs, advanced placement, and dual enrollment.
- (5) Each school district shall develop a system of priorities for its plan that includes consideration of the following:
- (a) An application process required to participate in the controlled open enrollment program.
- (b) A process that allows parents to declare school preferences.
- (c) A process that <u>allows</u> encourages placement of siblings within the same school.

Page 3 of 19

(d) A lottery procedure used by the school district to determine student assignment.

(e) An appeals process for hardship cases.

- (f) The procedures to maintain socioeconomic, demographic, and racial balance.
 - (q) The availability of transportation.
- (g) (h) A process that promotes strong parental involvement, including the designation of a parent liaison.
- $\underline{\text{(h)}}$ A strategy that establishes a clearinghouse of information designed to assist parents in making informed choices.
- Section 3. Section 1002.391, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
 - 1002.391 Public school academy programs; public schools.--
- (1) The Department of Education shall develop by January

 1, 2007, a plan for school districts to establish academy

 programs in every public school where feasible. Based on the

 school-within-a-school concept, academy programs shall be

 multiple programs within one school facility that allow students

 to concentrate on unique and specialized tracks of study of

 their choosing. The department's plan shall be based on the

 following:
- (a) Students in each academy program shall be required to take a base of core-curricula courses in addition to specialized courses unique to each program.
- (b) The plan shall include a waiver provision for school districts to continue offering traditional single-track programs if, because of unique circumstances, it is not feasible for them

Page 4 of 19

to offer multi-track academy programs within individual schools.

- (c) Parents shall be empowered to switch their child to a different academy program if they are unhappy with the program in which their child is enrolled. Except as provided in paragraph (d), once a child begins an academic year in an academy, he or she is required to attend that academy for the remainder of the academic year.
- (d) Parents may apply to move their child to another academy program before the end of the academic year if special circumstances warrant such action, according to a process developed by the department.
- another public school within the school district if they are unhappy with the school in which their child is enrolled. Once a child begins an academic year in a school, he or she is required to attend that school for the remainder of the academic year. However, if special circumstances warrant such action, parents may apply to move their child to another school before the end of the academic year, according to a process developed by the department.
- (3) School districts shall provide transportation for students to attend academy programs or schools outside of their school zone. The department shall use Every Child Matters

 Program funds, pursuant to s. 1008.36, to reimburse school districts for reasonable costs to provide transportation for students who attend academy programs or schools outside of their school zone.

Section 4. Subsection (2) of section 1008.22, Florida Statutes, is amended, paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of subsection (3) are redesignated as paragraphs (e), (f), and (g), respectively, and a new paragraph (d) is added to that subsection, to read:

1008.22 Student assessment program for public schools.--

(2) NATIONAL EDUCATION COMPARISONS. --

140

141

142

143144

145

146

147

148

149 150

151

152

153

154

155156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

- (a) It is Florida's intent to participate in the measurement of national educational goals. The Commissioner of Education shall direct Florida school districts to participate in the administration of the National Assessment of Educational Progress, or a similar national assessment program, both for the national sample and for any state-by-state comparison programs which may be initiated. Such assessments must be conducted using the data collection procedures, the student surveys, the educator surveys, and other instruments included in the National Assessment of Educational Progress or similar program being administered in Florida. The results of these assessments shall be included in the annual report of the Commissioner of Education specified in this section. The administration of the National Assessment of Educational Progress or similar program shall be in addition to and separate from the administration of the statewide assessment program.
- (b) In order to ensure that Florida provides "a uniform, efficient, safe, secure, and high quality system of free public schools that allows students to obtain a high quality education" as required in s. 1, Art. IX of the State Constitution, the Commissioner of Education shall:

Page 6 of 19

1. Adopt performance standards, set goals, and provide the resources necessary to ensure that Florida ranks in the top half of state-by-state education performance comparisons compiled by the United States Department of Education.

168

169

170

171

172

173

174175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

- 2. Set goals so that in no instance will Florida rank in the bottom quartile of any state-by-state education performance comparison compiled by the United States Department of Education.
- STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM. -- The commissioner shall (3) design and implement a statewide program of educational assessment that provides information for the improvement of the operation and management of the public schools, including schools operating for the purpose of providing educational services to youth in Department of Juvenile Justice programs. The commissioner may enter into contracts for the continued administration of the assessment, testing, and evaluation programs authorized and funded by the Legislature. Contracts may be initiated in 1 fiscal year and continue into the next and may be paid from the appropriations of either or both fiscal years. The commissioner is authorized to negotiate for the sale or lease of tests, scoring protocols, test scoring services, and related materials developed pursuant to law. Pursuant to the statewide assessment program, the commissioner shall:
- (d) Develop and implement a student achievement testing program known as the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test

 (FCAT) Pretest as part of a statewide diagnostic tool for public school students. The FCAT Pretest shall be given during the first week of the academic year to assess the academic strengths

Page 7 of 19

and weaknesses of each student so that teachers can accurately develop curricula that promote advancement of all students. The FCAT Pretest shall be used for diagnostic purposes only and shall not be used to determine performance categories for academy programs or public schools.

Section 5. Section 1008.33, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

improvement.--It is the intent of the Legislature that all public schools be held accountable for students performing at acceptable levels. A system of school improvement and accountability that assesses student performance by school, identifies schools in which students are not making adequate progress toward state standards, institutes appropriate measures for enforcing improvement, and provides rewards and sanctions based on performance shall be the responsibility of the State Board of Education.

prescribing the duty of the State Board of Education to supervise Florida's public school system and notwithstanding any other statutory provisions to the contrary, the State Board of Education shall intervene in the operation of a district school system when one or more schools in the school district have failed to make adequate progress for 2 school years in a 3-year 4 year period. For purposes of determining when an academy program or a school is eligible for state board action and opportunity scholarships for its students, the terms "2 years in any 3-year 4 year period" and "2 years in a 3-year 4-year

Page 8 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

224

225

226

227

228229

230

231

232

233

234 235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246247

248

249

250251

period" mean that in any year that a school has a performance category of "Inadequate Progress," grade of "F," the school is eliqible for state board action and opportunity scholarships for its students if it also has had a performance category of "Inadequate Progress" grade of "F" in any of the previous 2 3 school years. The State Board of Education may determine that the school district or school has not taken steps sufficient for students in the school to be academically well served. Considering recommendations of the Commissioner of Education, the State Board of Education shall recommend action to a district school board intended to improve educational services to students in each school that is designated as performance grade category "Inadequate Progress." "F." Recommendations for actions to be taken in the school district shall be made only after thorough consideration of the unique characteristics of an academy program or a school, which shall include student mobility rates, the number and type of exceptional students enrolled in the school, and the availability of options for improved educational services. The state board shall adopt by rule steps to follow in this process. Such steps shall provide school districts sufficient time to improve student performance in schools and the opportunity to present evidence of assistance and interventions that the district school board has implemented.

(a) An academy program or school shall not receive a performance category of "Inadequate Progress" if it has an overall increase in student achievement of 10 percent over the previous year.

Page 9 of 19

(b) An academy program or school shall not receive a performance category of "Inadequate Progress" if it falls below its previous year's score but maintains adequate performance standards compared to other programs or schools in the state.

- (c) The State Board of Education shall determine by rule what constitutes "Adequate Progress" and "Inadequate Progress" for the purposes of the state education performance accountability system.
- (2) The State Board of Education may recommend one or more of the following actions to district school boards to enable students in academy programs and schools designated as performance grade category "Inadequate Progress" "F" to be academically well served by the public school system:
- (a) Provide additional resources, change certain practices, and provide additional assistance if the state board determines the causes of inadequate progress to be related to school district policy or practice;
- (b) Implement a plan that satisfactorily resolves the education equity problems in the academy program or school;
- (c) Contract for the educational services of the <u>academy</u> <u>program or</u> school, or reorganize the <u>academy program or</u> school at the end of the school year under a new school principal who is authorized to hire new staff and implement a plan that addresses the causes of inadequate progress;
- (d) Allow parents of students in the school to send their children to another district school of their choice; or
- (d) (e) Other action appropriate to improve the school's performance.

(3) In recommending actions to district school boards, the State Board of Education shall specify the length of time available to implement the recommended action. The State Board of Education may adopt rules to further specify how it may respond in specific circumstances. No action taken by the State Board of Education shall relieve an academy program or a school from state accountability requirements.

- Department of Education or Chief Financial Officer to withhold any transfer of state funds to the school district if, within the timeframe specified in state board action, the school district has failed to comply with the action ordered to improve the district's low-performing academy programs or schools. Withholding the transfer of funds shall occur only after all other recommended actions for school improvement have failed to improve performance. The State Board of Education may impose the same penalty on any district school board that fails to develop and implement a plan for assistance and intervention for low-performing schools as specified in s. 1001.42(16)(c).
- Section 6. Section 1008.34, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 1008.34 School grading system; district performance category grade.--
- (1) ANNUAL REPORTS.--The Commissioner of Education shall prepare annual reports of the results of the statewide assessment program which describe student achievement in the state, each district, and each school. The commissioner shall prescribe the design and content of these reports, which must

Page 11 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

include, without limitation, descriptions of the performance of all schools participating in the assessment program and all of their major student populations as determined by the Commissioner of Education, and must also include the median scores of all eligible students who scored at or in the lowest 25th percentile of the state in the previous school year; provided, however, that the provisions of s. 1002.22 pertaining to student records apply to this section.

- (2) ACADEMY PROGRAM AND SCHOOL PERFORMANCE GRADE

 CATEGORIES.--The annual report shall identify academy programs

 and schools as being in one of the following performance grade

 categories defined according to rules of the State Board of

 Education:
- (a) <u>"Adequate progress."</u> "A," schools making excellent progress."
- (b) "Inadequate progress." "B," schools making above average progress.
 - (c) "C," schools making satisfactory progress.
- 326 (d) "D," schools making less than satisfactory progress.
- 327 (e) "F," schools failing to make adequate progress.

328329

330

331

332

333

308

309

310

311312

313

314

315

316

317

318 319

320

321322

323

324

325

Beginning in the 2007-2008 school year, a school that has been designated as performance category "F" in a prior school year shall not be designated as performance category "Inadequate Progress" using the current year's data if that school has shown at least a 10-percent increase in student performance in each

334 <u>subject area.</u> Each school designated in performance grade

335 category "A," making excellent progress, or having improved at

Page 12 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

least two performance grade categories, shall have greater authority over the allocation of the school's total budget generated from the FEFP, state categoricals, lottery funds, grants, and local funds, as specified in state board rule. The rule must provide that the increased budget authority shall remain in effect until the school's performance grade declines.

- (3) DESIGNATION OF SCHOOL PERFORMANCE GRADE
 CATEGORIES.--For purposes of determining academy program or
 school performance, student performance should be based on all
 students' annual learning gains compared to the previous year.
 School performance grade category designations itemized in
 subsection (2) shall be based on the following:
 - (a) Timeframes.--

350 351

- 1. Academy program or school performance grade category designations shall be based on the school's current year performance of the academy program or school and its the school's annual learning gains.
- 2. Beginning in school year 2007-2008, the performance category designation of an academy program or a school shall be determined based upon the following weighted factors, according to rules adopted by the State Board of Education:
- a. Fifty percent of the performance category shall be based on students' FCAT scores.
- b. Fifty percent of the performance category shall be based on measures, where appropriate, that include performance in non-FCAT courses; NAEP scores; dropout rate; retention; expulsions; attendance; delinquencies; school crime rate; effectiveness of Advanced Placement courses; Florida Bright

Page 13 of 19

Futures Scholarship Program awards; college acceptance rates; and rate of placement of vocational students in the workforce.

- 2. A school's performance grade category designation shall be based on a combination of student achievement scores, student learning gains as measured by annual FCAT assessments in grades 3 through 10, and improvement of the lowest 25th percentile of students in the school in reading, math, or writing on the FCAT, unless these students are performing above satisfactory performance.
- (b) Student assessment data.--Student assessment data used in determining academy program and school performance grade categories shall include:
- 1. The aggregate scores of all eligible students enrolled in the $\underline{\text{academy program or}}$ school who have been assessed on the FCAT.
- 2. The aggregate scores of all eligible students enrolled in the <u>academy program or</u> school who have been assessed on the FCAT, including Florida Writes, and who have scored at or in the <u>lowest 25th percentile of students in the school in reading</u>, math, or writing, unless these students are performing above satisfactory performance.

The Department of Education shall study the effects of mobility on the performance of highly mobile students and recommend programs to improve the performance of such students. The State Board of Education shall adopt appropriate criteria for each school performance grade category. The criteria must also give added weight to student achievement in reading. Schools

Page 14 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

designated as performance grade category "C," making satisfactory progress, shall be required to demonstrate that adequate progress has been made by students in the school who are in the lowest 25th percentile in reading, math, or writing on the FCAT, including Florida Writes, unless these students are performing above satisfactory performance.

- (4) SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT RATINGS.--The annual report shall identify each school's performance as having improved, remained the same, or declined. This school improvement rating shall be based on a comparison of the current year's and previous year's student and academy program or school performance data. Schools that improve at least one performance grade category are eligible for school recognition awards pursuant to s. 1008.36.
- RATING REPORTS.--School performance grade category designations and improvement ratings shall apply to the each school's performance of each academy program or school for the year in which performance is measured. Each school's designation and rating shall be published annually by the Department of Education and the school district. Parents shall be entitled to an easy-to-read report card about the designation and rating of the academy program or school in which their child is enrolled.
- (6) RULES.--The State Board of Education shall adopt rules pursuant to ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54 to implement the provisions of this section.
- (7) PERFORMANCE-BASED FUNDING.--The Legislature may factor in the performance of <u>academy programs and</u> schools in

calculating any performance-based funding policy that is provided for annually in the General Appropriations Act.

- required by subsection (1) shall include district performance categories grades, which shall consist of weighted district average performance categories grades, by level, for all elementary schools, middle schools, and high schools in the district. A district's weighted average performance category grade shall be calculated by weighting individual academy program and school performance category designations grades determined pursuant to subsection (2) by school enrollment. School districts shall have a variety of tools at their disposal to maintain high performance standards. These tools shall include, but not be limited to:
- (a) Giving academy programs and schools that make

 "Adequate Progress" greater spending flexibility in their annual budgets.
- (b) Allowing academy programs and schools that make
 "Adequate Progress" to operate free of many state categoricals
 and rules.
- Section 7. Section 1008.36, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 1008.36 <u>Every Child Matters</u> Florida School Recognition Program.--
 - (1) The Legislature finds that in order to provide every student enrolled in K-12 public schools with the opportunity to achieve a successful public education, academic problems must be identified early and remediation and intervention services must

Page 16 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

be provided. It is the intent of this section that no child shall be left behind there is a need for a performance incentive program for outstanding faculty and staff in highly productive schools. The Legislature further finds that performance-based incentives are commonplace in the private sector and should be infused into the public sector as a reward for productivity.

- (2) The <u>Every Child Matters</u> Florida School Recognition Program is created to provide financial awards to public schools that:
- (a) A curriculum-based, year-round measurement of learning gains for all kindergarten students enrolled in public schools.

 Sustain high-performance by receiving a school grade of "A,"

 making excellent progress; or
- (b) Remediation and intervention services to all kindergarten through grade 12 students enrolled in public schools who are not meeting grade-appropriate performance expectations, including FCAT scores. Demonstrate exemplary improvement due to innovation and effort by improving a letter grade.
- (3) All public schools, including charter schools, that receive a school grade pursuant to s. 1008.34 are eligible to participate in the program.
- (4) All academy programs and selected schools shall receive financial assistance awards depending on the availability of funds appropriated and the number and size of schools selected to receive an award. Funds must be distributed to the school's fiscal agent and placed in the school's account and must be used for purposes listed in subsection (5) as

Page 17 of 19

determined jointly by the school's staff and school advisory council. If school staff and the school advisory council cannot reach agreement by November 1, the awards must be equally distributed to all classroom teachers currently teaching in the school.

- (5) Every Child Matters Program funds School recognition awards must be used for the following:
- (a) Administration of the Dynamic Indicators of Basic
 Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS) to all kindergarten students
 enrolled in public schools Nonrecurring bonuses to the faculty
 and staff;
- (b) Nonrecurring expenditures for remediation of lowperforming students, including remediation programs and intervention services adopted and administered by the Department of Education;
- (c) (b) Nonrecurring expenditures for educational equipment or materials to assist in the remediation of low-performing students; maintaining and improving student performance; or
- (d)(c) Temporary personnel for the school to assist in the remediation of low-performing students; maintaining and improving student performance.
- (e) Contracts with private sector participants to provide remediation services provided that 90 percent of the personnel providing services reside in the state; or
 - (f) Transportation of students pursuant to s. 1002.391.

Notwithstanding statutory provisions to the contrary, incentive awards are not subject to collective bargaining.

Page 18 of 19

503	Section 8. (1) The Department of Education shall provide				
504	training and informational resources for educators to administer				
505	the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)				
506	and shall be responsible for creating and implementing				
507	provisions for the collection and analysis of the testing data.				
508	(2) The Department of Education shall establish policies				
509	and procedures for the development of individual education plans				
510	for low-performing students who need remediation and				
511	intervention services.				
512	Section 9. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.				

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1427 Education SPONSOR(S): Bendross-Mindingall and others

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee		Hassell	Mizereck
2) Education Appropriations Committee	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
3) Education Council	••••		
4)	**************************************	-	
5)	*** *** ****		

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The bill makes controlled open enrollment mandatory for every school district in the state, and creates a new public school choice option of academy programs. The bill requires school districts to transport students to academies and schools outside their district and to students in controlled open enrollment programs.

Mandating school districts to provide transportation will cause school district expenditures for transportation to increase significantly.

This bill removes the Opportunity Scholarship Program's public school choice option for a student attending failing school, thus eliminating 1688 students from participating in this public school choice option.

The bill creates "academy programs" which are multiple programs within one school facility that allow students to concentrate on unique and specialized tracks of study of their choosing. The bill does not identify examples of "unique and specialized tracks" of studies nor does it differentiate these programs from existing programs such as magnet schools, charter schools, charter technical career centers and other public school choice options.

The bill requires the DOE to develop and implement an FCAT pretest. If all students (approx. 1.8 million) were required to take a pre-test, the cost would be over \$30 million annually. See FISCAL COMMENT under STATE EXPENDITURES.

The bill removes the current school grading system and replaces it with two undefined categories of "Inadequate" and "Adequate." Under this system, parents will only know whether the school is passing or failing, not how well their child's school is doing. Schools could potentially escape the "inadequate" designation even though they have failed to improve the student learning gains of their students attending their school. These schools would not be held accountable for their failure to educate their students.

The bill removes the School Recognition Program and replaces it with the Every Child Matters Program. The Every Child Matters program is created to provide a curriculum-based year-round measurement for all public school kindergarten students and for remediation and intervention services for K-12 students not meeting performance expectations. However, since 2003, all public school kindergarten students have been required to participate in the statewide kindergarten screening tests administered by each school district within the first 30 school days of each school year.

The bill may involve some constitutional issues. See CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES section of this analysis.

The bill provides for an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h1427.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government- The bill eliminates each public school district's authority to choose to offer a controlled open enrollment program. The bill increases the district's responsibility to provide transportation to students in controlled open enrollment programs and to students attending academies or schools within or outside the district's school zone.

Promote Personal Responsibility- The bill eliminates the Opportunity Scholarship Program's public school choice option for a student attending failing school, which eliminates 1688 students from participating in this public school choice option.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

PUBLIC SCHOOL CHOICE

Background

Florida law provides that parents of public school students may seek whatever public school choice options applicable to their students and available to students in their school districts. Controlled open enrollment is a public education delivery system that allows school districts to make student school assignments using parents' indicated preferential public school choice as a significant factor. School districts are not required to offer the controlled open enrollment program but may offer it in addition to any existing choice programs. Each school board is required to develop a plan describing the controlled open enrollment program within their public school system based on a system of priorities that includes the consideration of several factors, including but not limited to, application process, lottery procedure, availability of transportation, and procedures to maintain socioeconomic, demographic, and racial balance.¹

According to the 2004-2005 Survey 3, 45 school districts reported through the state automated student information system implementation of a controlled open enrollment plan for one or more students: Alachua, Baker, Bay, Brevard, Charlotte, Citrus, Collier, Miami-Dade, Desoto, Escambia, Franklin, Hamilton, Hardee, Hendry, Hillsborough, Holmes, Indian River, Jackson, Lake, Lee, Leon, Madison, Manatee, Marion, Martin, Monroe, Okeechobee, Orange, Osceola, Palm Beach, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, Putnam, St. Johns, St. Lucie, Santa Rosa, Sarasota, Seminole, Suwannee, Taylor, Volusia, Wakulla, Walton, and Washington.

Each school district is required to provide transportation for students who meet one of the criteria established by statute and rule. Florida's school districts operate approximately 15,200 school buses on a typical school day, transporting over 1,054,000 students to and from school and between schools. The 2004-05 Legislature appropriated \$440,240,965 million to school districts in order to fund transportation services. Each school district receives a portion of these funds for the transportation of eligible students. Each school district's allocation is calculated based on the membership of students to

STORAGE NAME:

h1427.PKT.doc

PAGE: 2

¹ s. 1002.31, F.S.

² s. 1006.21, F.S., and Rule 6A-3.001 F.A.C provides transportation for the following: a student lives two miles or more from school; a student has a disability, regardless of distance from school; a student is pregnant or a student parent, including the child of a student parent, and is enrolled in a teenage parent program; a student is enrolled in a state pre-kindergarten disability program, regardless of distance from school; a student is transported from one school to another to participate in an instructional program or service for vocational students, dual enrollment students, or students with disabilities; a student is in elementary school not to exceed grade six and the student is subjected to hazardous walking conditions according to s. 1006.23, F.S.

be transported according to s. 1011.68, F.S., multiplied by the transportation allocation per student. The actual expenditures by school districts for transportation in Fiscal Year 2004-05 were \$806,216,744.76. School districts were required to reprioritize their school budgets to pay for transportation costs that exceeded the appropriated amount.

Effects of Proposed Changes

The bill makes controlled open enrollment mandatory for every school district in the state. It also provides that parents of public school students may seek any public school choice options available in their school districts, and creates a new public school choice option of academy programs. Furthermore, school districts are no longer allowed to consider the availability of transportation in their controlled open enrollment programs. With the implementation of these two school choice options, school districts could potentially be responsible for transporting students all over the district since the school district is no longer allowed to use the availability of transportation as a factor in providing school choice options. Thus, mandating school districts to provide transportation for controlled open enrollment programs, to students in academy programs, and to schools and academies outside the district school zone could potentially cause school districts to spend exponentially more for transportation than they are currently spending. Further, transportation expenses will most likely increase due to the increasing cost of fuel.

The Opportunity Scholarship Program's (OSP) public school choice option for a student attending failing school is removed by this bill. In the October 2005 survey, 1688 students were reported by school districts as participating in the OSP public school choice option. By eliminating this program, the bill effectively eliminates 1688 students from participating in this public school choice option that was not deemed unconstitutional by the Florida Supreme Court in Bush v. Holmes.

Academy programs, as defined in this bill, are multiple programs within one school facility that allow students to concentrate on unique and specialized tracks of study of their choosing. The bill does not identify examples of "unique and specialized tracks" of studies nor does it differentiate these programs from existing programs such as magnet schools, charter schools, charter technical career centers and other public school choice options.

The Department of Education (DOE) is required to develop a plan for school districts to establish academy programs in every public school, elementary, middle, and high school, where feasible, by January 2007. The bill does not define feasible. Also, it does not specify the number of programs that each school must offer or the number of students that can be assigned to each academy. The bill requires the DOE plan to be based on three factors: the requirement that students take core-curricula classes; the requirement of a waiver provision to exempt individual schools from the academy requirement; and the requirement that parents be able to move their child if they are unhappy with the program.

The bill grants parents the authority to transfer their child to another academy program or public school program within their school district if they are unhappy with the program or academy. Under this provision, school districts are also required to provide the transportation of such students to attend a school or an academy outside of their school zone, and will be reimbursed, if funding permits, from the Every Child Matters Program funds.

ACCOUNTABILITY

Background

In 1999, the Legislature enacted the A+ Education Plan for Education based on high performance standards and expectations for student performance, clear measurement and accountability, and state

3/17/2006

PAGE: 3

support and assistance, and rewards and consequences for results.4 The basic provisions of the A+ Education Plan included annual student testing in grade 3 through 10, annual school report cards based upon student performance and progress, school recognition in the form of rewards for schools that improved student learning and maintained high performance and assistance for struggling schools. and school choice for parents of students in failing schools.

Over the years, the Legislature and the State Board of Education have continued to revise accountability measures in the following ways:

- Providing remediation and eliminating social promotion in 3rd grade for students who did not have the reading skills to succeed in the 4th grade.
- Increasing the requirements for high school graduation from an 8th grade level exit exam to a 10th grade level exam.
- Revising the ways that schools are graded by increasing writing standards and including students with disabilities and limited English proficient student's scores.
- Making reading instruction a primary focus in elementary years, providing reading coaches, and utilizing the lasted in research-based reading.

Florida Comprehensive Accountability Test (FCAT)

The FCAT was developed in the mid-1990's. Writing was first administered in 1995, math and reading were first tested in 1997 and the test was first used for accountability in 1998. The FCAT was developed by teachers based upon Sunshine State Standards (SSS). The SSS were developed by educators from throughout the state and approved by the State Board of Education (SBE) in 1996 to provide expectations for student achievement in Florida. The SSS were written in seven subject areas, each divided into four separate grade clusters (preK-2, 3-5, 6-8, and 9-12) so that school districts had the flexibility in the design of their curriculum.

Florida currently requires public school students in grades 3 through 10 to take the reading and math portions of the FCAT each year. Students in grades 4,8, and 10 must also take the writing portions of the FCAT, and students in grades 5,8, and 10 must take the science portion of the FCAT. For students who do not attain minimum performance expectations on the 10th grade FCAT, the FCAT must be administered for up to three times each year.

In 1998-99, 51% of all 4th grade students, 23% of African American 4th grade students, and 38% of Hispanic 4th grade students were reading on grade level. In 2004-05, 71% of all 4th grade students, 56% of African American 4th grade students, and 66% of Hispanic 4th grade students were reading on grade level.

Florida leads the nation in 4th grade reading improvement and is competitive in its writing ranking. According to the National Assessment of Educational Progress⁵, in 1998-99, the 4th grade reading median score was 206. The median score in 4th grade reading for African American students and Hispanic students was 196 and 198, respectively. In 2004-05, the 4th grade reading median score was 218. The median score for African American 4th grade students on reading was 198 and the median score for Hispanic 4th grade students was 21. In 2003, Florida ranked 8th in the nation on 4th grade writing.

Student Progression and Remediation

Current law requires school districts to report to DOE the number and percentage of all students in grades 3 through 10 performing at Level 1 or 2 on FCAT reading, by grade, the number and percentage

⁴ ch. 99-398, L.O.F.

⁵ NAEP, a nationally renowned source, that provides state-level comparisons in the subject areas of reading, writing, mathematics and science, at grades 4 and 8. The assessments are given periodically, in a sample of schools, so the subject areas on which data are available vary from year to year. For more information -- http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/about/

of all students retained in grade 3 through 10, by grade, and the total number of students who were promoted for good cause, by each category of good cause.⁶

The percentage of 4th grade students scoring at achievement level 1 in reading has declined since 1999. In 1999, 60% African American students, 45% Hispanic students, and 23% white students were scoring level 1 in reading. In 2005, 25% African American students, 19% Hispanic students, and 9% white students were scoring level 1 in reading.

A total of 155,000 more students are reading on grade level in 2005 than in 2001. More specifically, 36,000 more African American, 64,000 more Hispanic students are, and 27,000 more Students with Disabilities are reading on grade level.

School Grading

Schools are graded annually and are identified as being one of the following:

- "A" making excellent progress,
- "B" making above average progress,
- · "C" making satisfactory progress,
- "D" making less that satisfactory progress,
- "F" failing to make adequate progress.

School performance grade categories are based on a combination of student achievement scores, student learning gains as measured by FCAT assessments in grades 3 through 10, and the improvement of the lowest 25th percentile of students in reading, math, or writing, unless those students were performing above satisfactory. School performance grades of every school in the state of Florida are available online for public review and accountability.

In 1998-99, there were 202 "A" schools, 313 "B" schools, 1230 "C" schools, 601 "D" schools, and 76 "F" schools. In 2001, school grading was revised and the measurement of school grades was made more difficult by increasing writing standards and including students with disabilities and limited English proficient student's scores. In 2004-05, there were 1255 "A" schools, 588 "B" schools, 619 "C" schools, 230 "D" schools, and 78 "F" schools.

High School Graduation Requirements

Florida has had graduation test requirements for over 25 years. Prior to the A+ Education Plan, the High School Competency Test (HSCT) was the test measurement used as the high school exit exam. The HSCT was an 8th grade level test and 10% of high school students did not receive a diploma solely because they could not pass the test, However, in 2002, the FCAT, a 10th grade level test, replaced the HSCT. In 2005, 7% of high school students did not receive a diploma because of nonpassage of the test.

Students may not graduate from high school with a standard diploma if they do not meet the required credits and grade point average(GPA) requirements, complete all district requirements, and pass the 10th grade FCAT in reading, writing, and mathematics, unless they are exempt or subject to a waiver of the assessment requirement. SBE rule designates the passing score for each part of the FCAT.

The graduation rates from 1998 to 2003-2004 have increased by 11.4% for all students, 8.6% for African Americans students, and 11.2% for Hispanic students. In 1998, the graduation rate for all

STORAGE NAME:

h1427.PKT.doc 3/17/2006 PAGE: 5

⁶ s. 1008.25(6)(b), F.S., provides for six good cause exemptions: 1) student with a disability that does not take the FCAT, 2) Limited English Proficient (LEP) student who has had less than 2 years of English for Speaker's of Other Languages (ESOL) instruction, 3) student with a disability who takes the FCAT and has previously been retained, 4) any student with a reading deficiency who has previously been retained twice, 5) student demonstrates proficiency on an alternate assessment, or 6) student demonstrates proficiency through a student portfolio.

students was 60.2%. The graduation rates for African American students and Hispanic students were 48.7% and 52.8%, respectively. However, in 2004, the graduation rates of all students rose to 71.6%, and the graduation rates of African American and Hispanic students rose to 57.3% and 64%, respectively.

Florida School Recognition Program

The Florida School Recognition Program⁷ provides lottery-funded financial awards to public schools as a reward for performance. Schools that maintain a grade of "A" or improve one letter grade receive \$100 per student. In Fiscal Year 1998-1999, 319 schools shared \$27,603,881 million in School Recognition funds. In Fiscal Year 2004-05, 1425 schools shared \$117,190,888 million in School Recognition funds.

Funds may be used to reward faculty and staff, purchase educational equipment or materials, and hire temporary personnel. The school's staff and advisory council jointly determine the specific use of the funds. If no agreement is reached by November 1, the award is equally distributed to all classroom teachers currently teaching in the school.⁸

Funding

Public school funding, not adjusted for inflation, for Fiscal Year 1998-99 was \$4,836.73 per student. In Fiscal Year 2004-05, public school per student funding, not adjusted for inflation, increased to \$5,757.77. The total funds increased approximately \$3.96 billion from 1998-99 to 2004-05. Additionally, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds are provided for remediation of low-performing students. In 2005-06, \$670,341,490 was appropriated in SAI funds. SAI funds are designated to be used "in the most effective and efficient way to best help that student progress from grade to grade and to graduate."

Effects of Proposed Changes

The bill requires that as part of the constitutional mandate to provide a "uniform, efficient, safe, secure, and high quality system of free public schools" the Commissioner of Education must adopt performance standards, set goals, and provide resources necessary to ensure that Florida ranks in the top half of the state-by-state education performance comparison compiled by the United States Department of Education.

Florida currently participates in the administration of the National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP) test. ¹⁰ Furthermore, current statutory law provides for a comprehensive student assessment program for public schools to improve public schools and to be accountable to public school parents. The student assessment program includes national education comparisons, a statewide assessment program, district testing programs, school testing programs, and required analyses by the Commissioner of Education. ¹¹ The bill fails to identify the performance standards not already established in law, fails to articulate goals not already established in law, or identify resources different than those currently being utilized to carry out this mandate. This requirement could encourage the Commissioner of Education make student and school performance measures less stringent in order to meet such an obligation.

⁷ s. 1008.22, F.S.

⁸ Id.

⁹ s. 1011.62(1)(f), F.S.

¹⁰ s.1008.22(2), F.S.

The bill also requires the DOE to develop and implement an FCAT pretest to be administered during the first week of the new school year to assess the strengths and weaknesses of each student. SEE FISCAL COMMENT under STATE EXPENDITURES.

The bill revises the duties of the SBE so that they are required to intervene in the operation of a school district system when one or more of the schools in the school district have failed to make adequate progress for "2 school years in a 3 year period", rather than the current law requirement of a 4 year period. The bill also redefines "2 years in any 3-year period" and "2 years in a 3 year period" to mean that in any year a school has a performance grade category of "Inadequate progress." The bill removes the current school grading system of "A", "B", "C", "D", or "F" and replaces it with two undefined categories of "Inadequate" and "Adequate." The bill requires the SBE to define these terms.

Even though the bill does not define "Inadequate progress" it states that school cannot receive a performance category of "Inadequate" if the school or academy has an overall increase in student achievement of 10% in each subject area over the previous year or if it falls below its previous year's score but maintains "adequate" performance standards compared to other schools in the state. The failure of this bill to define certain terms leads to much uncertainty. For example, it is unclear as to whether a school needs to make a 10% improvement overall, or if it needs to be a 10% improvement in reading, math, writing, science, learning gains in reading, learning gains in math, and learning gains in the lowest 25th percentile. Whereas the DOE does collect and maintain data on reading, writing, mathematics, and science, the DOE does not maintain performance data on other subject areas such as history or music.

Although a system of labeling schools based on "adequate" or "inadequate" would be similar to the NCLB legislation, which states that a school is either making progress, or is not making progress, the current school grading system is understood. Parents understand what an "A", "B", "C", "D" and "F" mean. Equally important is that this bill allows for no gradation between schools; either schools will be passing or will not be passing. Currently, the school grading system gives parents information on their child's school. Under the "adequate" or "inadequate" system, parents will only know whether the school is passing or failing, not how well their child's school is doing. Under this proposed legislation, schools could potentially escape the "inadequate" designation even though they have failed to improve the student learning gains of their students attending their school. These schools would not be held accountable for their failure to educate their students.

The bill revises the designation of school performance categories so that an academy program or a school performance category designation of inadequate or adequate is based fifty-percent on the students' FCAT scores and fifty percent on other measures, where appropriate. The bill identifies the following measures: performance in non-FCAT courses; NAEP scores; dropout rates, retention; expulsions; attendance; delinquencies; school crime rate; effectiveness of Advanced Placement courses; Florida Bright Futures Scholarship Program awards; college acceptance rates; and rate of placement of vocational students in the workforce. However, the bill does not establish a method for a school district to use to measure this aggregate data.

Furthermore, the DOE cannot quantify graduation and retention rate data prior to the current year releases of school performance designations. NAEP data is not available at the student or school level because it is a random sample used as a state level measurement only. It is important to note that outof-school suspensions, attendance (absent for more than 20 days), and drop out rates were all performance measures previously removed from the school grading system because the thresholds were so low that all schools met the criteria. Under this proposed legislation, it is possible that schools will be more likely to encourage less discipline and encourage more social promotion because their school performance designation depends on it.

The bill removes the School Recognition Program and replaces it with the Every Child Matters Program. By removing the school recognition fund program, school districts that make academic achievements and learning gains with their students will no longer get rewarded for their achievements.

STORAGE NAME: h1427.PKT.doc PAGE: 7 DATE: 3/17/2006

The bill creates the Every Child Matters program, subject to Legislative appropriation, in order to provide a curriculum-based year-round measurement for all public school kindergarten students and for remediation and intervention services for K-12 students not meeting performance expectations. Under current law, all public school kindergarten students are required to participate in the statewide kindergarten screening tests.¹²

The bill directs the funds to be used for the administration of the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Literacy Skills (DIBELS) to all public school kindergartners, for nonrecurring expenditures for remediation of low-performing students, for educational equipment or materials to assist low-performing students, temporary personnel to assist the school with low-performing students, contracts with private sector participants for remediation services, and transportation of students to academy programs.

It is unclear as to how these funds, if appropriated, will be disbursed among school districts and whether or not the assistance will be disbursed equally among each of the required categories. Thus, students may not be able to receive the much needed assistance in reading because the school district has to share the funds programs for student transportation.

The bill also requires the DOE to provide training and informational resources for educators to administer the DIBELS. As previously stated, since 2003, all public school kindergarten students have been required to participate in the statewide kindergarten screening tests administered by each school district within the first 30 school days of each school year.¹³

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

- Section 1. Amends s. 1002.20, F.S., adding academy programs to public school choice options.
- **Section 2.** Amends s. 1002.31, F.S., requiring districts to offer controlled open enrollment within the public schools; revising components of the programs.
- **Section 3.** Creates s. 1002.391, F.S., creating the academy programs in public schools; requiring the Department of Education to develop a plan for the creation of the academy programs in public schools; authorizing the transfer of students to different academy programs; requiring school districts to provide transportation outside of their school zone; providing reimbursement for reasonable costs associated with student transportation.
- **Section 4.** Amends s. 1008.22, F.S., requiring the Commissioner of Education to adopt performance standards, set goals, and provide resources so that Florida ranks in the top half of the state-by-state comparisons compiled by the United States Department of Education; requiring development and implementation of FCAT pretest.
- **Section 5.** Amends s. 1008.33, F.S., revising requirements relating to State Board of Education enforcement of public school improvement; specifying academy and school performance categories.
- **Section 6.** Amends s. 1008.34, F.S., revising provisions relating to the school and school district performance grading system; providing for performance grade categories for academy programs and schools; providing basis for category designations; providing school district tools for maintenance of high performing standards.
- **Section 7.** Amends s. 1008.36, F.S., renaming School Recognition Program to Every Child Matters Program; revising intent, purpose, participation, and use of funds; requiring the department to provide training and resources for certain student testing by educators; requiring the department to establish

STORAGE NAME:

h1427.PKT.doc 3/17/2006

¹² s. 1002.69; statewide kindergarten screening; kindergarten readiness rates.

¹³ s. 1002.69; statewide kindergarten screening; kindergarten readiness rates.

policies and procedures for the development of individual education plans for low-performing students who need remediation and intervention services.

Section 8. Provides for an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

FCAT PRETEST

The costs would be very similar to the current costs for administering the FCAT program. The current estimated cost per K-12 student is \$16.67 per year. Therefore, for example, the cost for developing, administering, and grading a pretest for Florida's 3rd graders (approx. 203,000 students) would be close to \$3.4 million annually. If all students (approx. 1.8 million) were required to take a pre-test, the cost would be over \$30 million annually.

TRANSPORTATION

Requiring school districts to provide transportation to all students in controlled open enrollment programs and to students attending academy programs within and outside of their school district will increase the district's expenditures for transportation. It is indeterminate how many students will participate in the controlled open enrollment program, the academies within their school district, or the academies and schools outside of their school district. However, because the school districts will have this new responsibility, there will be a substantial increase in state and local expenditures for transportation.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

See FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE EXPENDITURES.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1427.PKT.doc 3/17/2006 This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

This bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties and municipalities.

2. Other:

It is unclear how funding of education from district-to-district under this bill would be uniform and equitable.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not grant rule-making authority for the SBE for the development of the academy programs.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: DATE: